DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application for Significant Change in Method of Instructional Delivery:

Case No. 1003601

Virscend University
School Code No. 24813837

16490 Bake Parkway, Suite 100

Robert Chi, General Partner 4530 East Cerro Vista Drive Anaheim, CA 92807 **DECISION**

Applicant.

INTRODUCTION

On May 18, 2018, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (the Bureau) denied Virscend University's (the University) application to make a substantive change to its approval to operate by way of a significant change in the method of its instructional delivery.

The University requested an informal hearing before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs and the matter was heard on December 12, 2018, before the Director's designee, Deputy Director Ryan Marcroft. The University's President Robert Chi appeared on behalf of the University. Deputy Attorney General Malissa Siemantel and Education Administrator Robert Bayles appeared on behalf of the Bureau. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was submitted for a final decision.

After considering the evidence and argument submitted by the University and the Bureau, the Bureau's decision is AFFIRMED.

Decision (Virscend University)

Page 1

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

I. VIRSCEND UNIVERSITY'S APPLICATION TO MAKE A SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE

In 2016, the Bureau approved the University to offer two degree-granting programs: a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration totaling 60 units and a Master of Business Administration totaling 36 units.

On March 14, 2017, the University submitted an incomplete Application for Significant Change in Method of Instructional Delivery to the Bureau. The University submitted a revised application on May 21, 2017. The University proposed to offer distance education via "Elearning technologies," including online versions of its current courses, "hybrid courses," and "flip courses." The University explained that the online courses would cover the same content as the current course curriculum. The University also stated that it would select and integrate online course content to create its own courses. The University stated that most current faculty have years of online teaching experience, and that there would be no significant changes to faculty.

On June 8, 2017, the Bureau informed the University that it needed additional information to evaluate the application. The Bureau requested access to the University's online learning platform to review the proposed program. The Bureau requested a detailed syllabus for each of the distance education courses that the University proposed to offer, and a description of how the curriculum will be changed to account for training via distance education. The Bureau asked for a description of how each student would be assessed prior to admission to determine whether each has the skills and competencies to succeed in a distance education program. The Bureau also requested a copy of the University's catalog, updated with a disclosure of the number of days that will elapse between the institution's receipt of lessons, projects, or dissertations, and the institution's response.

The University responded on August 22, 2017, with additional information. The University explained that it planned to only provide distance education for three Master courses, and it

PowerPoint presentation and live chat. Electronic textbooks would be available, lectures would be recorded and available online, and instructors would be trained to communicate with students via live chat and text messaging. With respect to the Bureau's request for information about student assessments, the University stated that "all prerequisite[s] will be checked" and "[a]n orientation and assessment will be given to make sure students are ready for the class." The University also provided a catalog with the requested disclosure.

On March 15, 2018, the University notified the Bureau that information regarding four Master courses were available via its distance education program, MBA 500—Management

provided syllabi for three of the Master classes, MBA 500—Management Information Systems,

503—Financial Management, and 504—Financial Accounting. The University also explained

that its curriculum would be changed to offer distance learning courses via live video,

II. THE BUREAU'S DECISION AND UNIVERSITY'S APPEAL

and 505—Marketing Management.

On May 18, 2018, the Bureau denied the University's application to make a substantive change, because the application was incomplete and did not demonstrate that the school could satisfy minimum operating standards. The Bureau cited four reasons for its decision:

Information Systems, 501—Decision Technologies and Statistics, 502—Management Strategies,

- The application did not demonstrate that the proposed course materials and programs
 were current, logically organized, and contained subject areas necessary for students to
 achieve the programs' educational objectives. The University provided course materials
 for only four of the Master program courses and no materials for the Bachelor program.
- The University did not provide course syllabi or outlines for courses in the approved programs. The University provided syllabi for five of its Master courses—MBA 500, 501, 502, 503, and 504—but not for MBA 520, 601, 612, 621, 631, or 632. There were also no course syllabi for its Bachelor program.

- The University did not adequately identify how it would assess students to determine whether they have the skills and competencies to succeed in the program, or whether it would assess students prior to their admission.
- The school catalog was deficient because it did not define the period covered by the
 catalog, did not include certain Student Tuition Recovery Fund (STRF) disclosures, and
 did not describe course instruction and the requirements to complete the program.

On June 29, 2018, the University timely appealed the Bureau's decision.

III. THE INFORMAL HEARING

A hearing in the matter was held on December 12, 2018. The Bureau appeared at the hearing and offered testimony in support of the Bureau's decision. The University's President also appeared and offered testimony in the matter.

Scope of Proposed Program

The University asserted that it corrected the deficiencies the Bureau identified in its May 18, 2018, letter. The University noted that it did not intend to provide its Bachelor program via distance education, and only intended to provide the Master degree program online. The University also noted that it offered a 10-class Master program, and that it completed course syllabi for MBA 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 520, 601, 621, and 650.

The Bureau disputed that the University corrected all the identified deficiencies. The Bureau noted that the University frequently changed the courses that it planned to offer via distance learning and, consequently, the Bureau could not determine the final scope of the program that the University intended to offer. For instance, the University's original application was unclear in that the University appeared to apply to offer both the Bachelor and Master program via distance education. Even the course offerings for the Master program changed over time, from three classes, to four classes, to 10 classes. Indeed, the Bureau noted that the University applied to remove several courses from its Master program the day before the hearing—MBA 520, 601, 612, 621, 631, and 632. Nonetheless, the Bureau agreed that the University did not need to

provide materials for its Bachelor's program, since it did not intend to offer the program via distance education.

Course Syllabi

The University testified that it intended to offer a 10-class Master program via distance education, and that it completed course syllabi for MBA 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 520, 601, 621, and 650. The Bureau acknowledged that it received the course information needed for five Master classes—MBA 500, 501, 502, 503, and 504. It did not, however, receive syllabi for the remaining courses—MBA 505, 520, 601, 621, and 650.

Student Assessment

With respect to assessing students, the University noted that prior to admission, students would participate in a one-on-one interview to ensure they have the necessary equipment and Internet connection to successfully complete the program requirements, and that the students could communicate in English. Students would also be required to demonstrate familiarity with distance learning tools like "Zoom" video conferencing, YouTube, and "Moodle." They would also receive an online orientation to introduce them to special software used in any courses.

The Bureau testified that the University provided insufficient detail regarding the proposed assessment. The Bureau requested additional detail regarding the questions that would be asked at the one-on-one interview and what was needed to demonstrate familiarity with Zoom, YouTube, and Moodle. The Bureau acknowledged, however, that it had no specific guidance around what level of detail would ultimately resolve the deficiency.

Catalog

The University testified that it did not provide the Bureau with its latest version of the catalog, but it offered to provide the Bureau with a revised catalog that contained the information that the Bureau identified as missing. In all events, the Bureau did not have a copy of the revised catalog and, consequently, could not verify whether the deficiencies were corrected. The Bureau noted that it needed to verify that the information provided in the catalog was consistent with

other information the University provided about the Master program. For instance, the University stated that there were no final tests or examinations in its Master program, but the syllabi it provided for MBA 500, 503, and 504 each included information about final class examinations. The University also stated that the "requirement to complete the MBA program is 30 semester units (10 courses)," but the University was approved to offer a 36-unit Master program.

LEGAL STANDARDS

I. SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES TO APPROVAL TO OPERATE

An institution may not make a substantive change to its approval to operate without the Bureau's prior approval. (Ed. Code, §§ 94893 & 94894.) A significant change in the method of instructional delivery, including any change that alters the way students interact with faculty, is a substantive change that requires approval. (Ed. Code, § 94894, subd. (g); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71600, subd. (a).)

The Bureau may deny an application to make a substantive change if the application is incomplete or the institution does not establish that the proposed change will meet required institutional operating standards. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71655, subds. (b) & (d).) An applicant for which the Bureau denied approval may reapply or request an informal hearing before the Director. (*Id.* at subd. (e).)

II. MINIMUM INSTITUTIONAL OPERATING STANDARDS

Among the State's minimum institutional operating standards, an approved program must be comprised of the subject areas necessary for students to achieve the educational objectives of the program, and the subject areas and courses must be presented in a logically organized manner or sequence. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71710, subds. (a) & (b).) Each course must also have a syllabus or course outline. (*Id.* at subd. (c).)

Institutions offering distance education must ensure that the "materials and programs are current, well organized, designed by faculty competent in distance education techniques and

1 2 3

delivered using readily available, reliable technology" (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71715, subd. (d)(3).) Such institutions must also assess each student, prior to admission, in order to determine whether each student has the skills and competencies to succeed in the distance education environment. (*Id.* at subd. (d)(2).)

Institutions must provide students with a school catalog that describes the programs offered and the instruction provided in each of the courses offered, the requirements for completing each program, including courses, tests or examinations, required internships or externships, and the total number of credit hours, clock hours, or other increments needed for completion. (Ed. Code, § 94909, subd. (a)(5).) The catalog must also include specific beginning and ending dates defining the period covered by the catalog and disclosures regarding the Student Tuition Recovery Fund. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, §§ 71810, subd. (b)(1), 76215, subds. (a) & (b).)

DISCUSSION

There are grounds to deny the University's application for a substantive change. The application is incomplete and does not demonstrate that the University will meet minimum institutional operating standards. (Cal. Code. Regs., tit. 5, § 71655, subds. (b) & (d).)

The scope of the University's proposed distance program is uncertain and, consequently, the University did not establish that it satisfies minimum standards. The University was approved to offer a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration totaling 60 units and a Master of Business Administration totaling 36 units. The University's original application proposed to offer online versions of its current courses covering the same content as its course curriculum. In the University's August 22, 2017, submission to the Bureau, however, it proposed to offer distance education for only three Master courses, and it provided syllabi for the three courses. On March 15, 2018, the University notified the Bureau that information regarding four Master courses was available via its distance education program, and at the informal hearing, the University stated that it intended to offer a Master program consisting of 10 courses. The

Page 8

Bureau, however, only received course materials for five courses. The Bureau also testified that the day before the hearing, the University applied to remove several courses from its proposed program. Since the proposed program was uncertain and in flux, the University did not establish that it included the subject areas necessary for students to complete the Master program, or that the materials and courses were current and organized. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, §§ 71710, subds. (a) & (b), 71715, subd. (d)(3).) Accordingly, the University's application was properly denied. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71655, subds. (b) & (d).)

The University testified that it completed course syllabi for the 10 Master courses that it planned to offer via distance education. It did not, however, supply the Bureau with course syllabi for five of the courses. Accordingly, the University did not establish that its application was complete, or that it could satisfy minimum standards requiring that course materials be designed and organized by qualified faculty, and that syllabi be available for students in each course. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71710, subd. (c).) Thus, the Bureau properly denied the University's application. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71655, subds. (b) & (d).)

The University must also establish that it will assess each student, prior to admission, in order to determine whether they have the skills and competencies to succeed in a distance education environment. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71715, subd. (d)(2).) At the informal hearing, the University noted that it would assess students prior to admission via an interview to ensure they have the equipment and Internet connection necessary to participate via distance education, could communicate in English, and could demonstrate familiarity with software programs. Students would also receive an orientation to introduce them to course software.

The Bureau determined that the University's proposed assessment was deficient, but it did not specify how the assessment failed to meet minimum standards. Accordingly, the University's application was not subject to denial based on the proposed assessment.

With respect to the catalog, the University testified that it revised its catalog to include information that the Bureau identified as missing, but the University did not provide the catalog

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	to the Bureau. Accordingly, the University did not establish that its application was complete, or that it could satisfy minimum standards requiring that the catalog include specific beginning and ending dates, a description of the University's programs and courses and their requirements, and STRF disclosures. (Ed. Code, § 94909, subd. (a)(5); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, §§ 71810, subd. (b)(1), 76215, subds. (a) & (b).) The Bureau properly denied the University's application. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71655, subds. (b) & (d).)
8	<u>DECISION</u>
9	For the foregoing reasons, the Bureau's decision denying the University's application for a
10	substantive change is AFFIRMED.
11	
12	DATED
13	RYAN MARCROFT Deputy Director, Legal Affairs
14	Deputy Director, Legal Affairs Department of Consumer Affairs
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
20	Page 9 Decision (Virscend University)