1 2 3	BEFOR DEPARTMENT OF CO FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE STATE OF CA	ONSUMER AFFAIRS POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION
4		
5	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1001841
6 7	THE COSMO FACTORY	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
	COSMETOLOGY ACADEMY; JAMES FISHER, OWNER	[Gov. Code, §11520]
8	131 B Front Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060	
9 10	Approval to Operate an Institution Non-	
10	Accredited, Institution Code Number 98311708	
11	Respondent.	
12		
13	FINDINGS OF FACT	
15	1. On or about March 3, 2020, Complainant Dr. Michael Marion, Jr., in his official	
16	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of	
17	Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 1001841 against The Cosmo Factory Cosmetology	
18	Academy; James Fisher, Owner (Respondent) before the Director of the Department of Consumer	
	Affairs. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
19	2. On or about October 13, 2011, the Bureau issued Approval to Operate an Institution	
20	Non-Accredited, Institution Code Number 98311708 to Respondent.	
21	3. On or about March 4, 2020, Respondent was served by Certified Mail copies of the	
22	Accusation No. 1001841, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery,	
23	and Discovery Statutes (Government Code section	ns 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at
24	Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to	`
25	required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and	
26	is: 131 B Front Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060.	
27		
28		
	, 	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

1	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of		
2	Government Code section 11505(c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.		
3	5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:		
4	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent		
5 6	files a notice of defense and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.		
7	6. The Bureau takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to		
8	file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore		
9	waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 1001841.		
10	7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:		
11	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at		
12	the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without		
13	any notice to respondent		
14	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director finds		
15	Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based on the		
16	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter,		
17	as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained		
18	therein on file at the Director's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No.		
19	1001841, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 1001841, are separately and		
20	severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.		
21	9. The Director finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are		
22	\$6,297.50 as of April 3, 2020.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		
ĺ	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER In the Matter of the Accusation Against The Cosmo Factory Cosmetology Academy (Case No. 1001841)		

In the Matter of the Accusation Against The Cosmo Factory Cosmetology Academy (Case No. 1001841)

1	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
2	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent The Cosmo Factory	
3	Cosmetology Academy; James Fisher, Owner has subjected his Approval to Operate an	
4	Institution Non-Accredited No. Institution Code Number 98311708 to discipline.	
5	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
6	3. The Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke	
7	Respondent's Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited based upon the following	
8	violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default	
9	Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:	
10	a. Failure to Comply with Orders of Abatement (Cal. Code of Regs., title 5, §	
11	75050, subd. (b).)	
12	b. Failure to Pay Annual Fee (Cal. Code Regs., title 5, § 74000, subd. (e).)	
13	c. Operating without Barbering and Cosmetology Approval (Educ. Code §	
14	94899).	
15	d. Disciplinary Considerations. On or about September 5, 2019, in a prior action,	
16	and pursuant to Stipulated Settlement and Withdrawal of Accusation and Issuance of Citation No.	
17	1920063, the Bureau issued Citation No. 1920063. Respondent did not contest this citation,	
18	failed to comply with the orders of abatement, and paid only \$1,658.34 of the fine ordered by the	
19	Bureau, amounting to \$9,949.99.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

	*		
1	ORDER		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited No.		
3	Institution Code Number 98311708, issued to Respondent The Cosmo Factory Cosmetology		
4	Academy; James Fisher, Owner, is revoked.		
5	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
6	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
7	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
8	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
9	This Decision shall become effective on AUG 9 2020		
10	It is so ORDERED July 6, 2020		
11	A		
12	4470		
13	RYAN MARCROFT DEPUTY DIRECTOR		
14	LEGAL AFFAIRS DIVISION		
15	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
16	SF2020200327 21868495,DOCX		
17			
18	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	4		
	4 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDE In the Matter of the Accusation Against The Cosmo Factory Cosmetology Academy (Case No. 1001841		