1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General NEVA L. TASSAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 252612 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-4455 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUM	IER AFFAIRS		
9	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. BPPE22-515		
13 14	MILPITAS MASSAGE COLLEGE; NINH THI NGUYEN; OWNER 106 South Abel Street Milpitas, CA 95035	ACCUSATION		
15	Institution Code No. 60985589			
16	Respondents.			
17				
18				
19	<u>PARTIES</u>			
20	1. Deborah Cochrane (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official			
21	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of			
22	Consumer Affairs.			
23	2. On or about April 19, 2007, the Bureau granted Approval to Operate Institution Code			
24	No. 60985589 to Diana Nguyen Vuong d.b.a. Milpitas Massage College. An application for			
25	change of ownership was received by the Bureau on July 2, 2012, and according to Bureau			
26	records, Ninh Thi Nguyen (Respondent) began ownership of Milpitas Massage College on			
27	December 31, 2012. The Institution Approval to Operate was in full force and effect at all times			
28	relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation, and will expire on January 6, 2025. Pursuant			
	1			

1	(b) The qualifying institution shall maintain the data required under this section in an		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	electronic format that is readily available and open to inspection by the Bureau upon request. The		
	institution shall make the records immediately available to a Bureau representative conducting a site inspection or, upon written request, shall provide a copy within 14 calendar days of the		
3	request. All records shall be provided to the Bureau in an intelligible and orderly manner and in an electronic format.		
5	14. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71760 states:		
]	Each institution shall develop and maintain adequate procedures used by the institution to		
6	assure that it is maintained and operated in compliance with the Act and this Division.		
7 8	15. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 940, states:		
9	a) The minimum equipment for a school of cosmetology shall be as follows:		
10	(8) Thermal hair straighteners (A) Non-electric comb: 3		
11	(B) Stove (for non-electric combs): 1		
12	(C) Electric curling iron: 1 (D) Non-electric curling iron (at least two sizes): 3		
13	(D) Non-electric curling iron (at least two sizes): 3 (E) Stove (for non-electric curling irons): 1		
	(E) Stove (for non-electric curring froms).		
14			
15	16. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 961, states:		
16	(a) An approved school shall provide a printed or electronic copy of the following to		
	each student within the first week of instruction:		
17	(1) At least one textbook in the course of instruction that the student is enrolled in		
18	(e.g. cosmetology, barbering, electrology, esthetics, manicuring).		
19	(2) A current version of the California Barbering and Cosmetology Act (Chapter 10 of Division 3 of the California Business and Professions Code, commencing with		
$\frac{19}{20}$	section 7301); (3) A current copy of the Barbering and Cosmetology Regulations (Title 16, Division		
21	9 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 904); (4) A current version of any licensing examination translation guide prepared by the		
22	licensing exam vendor to assist exam candidates in the language in which the student		
	intends to take the examination, if the student intends to take the examination in one of the non-English languages offered by the board.		
2 4			
25			
26			
27			
28			

COST RECOVERY

17. Business and Professions Code section 125.3 provides, in part, that the Bureau may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. On or about December 14, 2021, the State Board of Barbering and Cosmetology (BBC) notified all schools, including Respondent, by email that any new 1,000-hour course must be approved by both the Bureau and the BBC.
- 19. On or about December 22, 2021, Respondent applied for approval of a 1,000-hour course from the BBC.
- 20. On or about December 27, 2021, the BBC sent an email to Respondent that the curriculum was not provided with its application. Respondent's application for a 1,000-hour course was never approved by the BBC.
- 21. On or about January 12, 2022, the BBC notified all schools by email that the schools must have approval from both the Bureau and the BBC to enroll students.
- 22. A representative of the BBC attempted to inspect Milpitas Massage College and found it to be closed, locked, and empty on or about the following dates and times:
 - a. April 13, 2022 at 10:00 AM;
 - b. April 18, 2022 at 1:30 PM;
 - c. May 10, 2022 at 9:50 AM and;
 - d. May 12, 2022 at 10:00 AM.

On these dates the "open" sign was not lit. The BBC representative called the phone number on the window on each date and no one answered.

| | ///

28 | 1

32. When asked whether classes were taught in Vietnamese, Respondent stated that she asks the students who speak English whether they are okay to take the class, knowing that some of it will be presented in Vietnamese. Respondent stated that all of her current students speak Vietnamese.

AUGUST 23, 2022 – INSPECTION OF STUDENT FILES

- 33. Review of the student files on-site revealed the following:
- a. Student files did not contain School Performance Fact Sheet (SPFS) forms.
- b. Enrollment agreements were often not signed by a College representative.
- c. Student files for the current students did not contain the current week's timecards.
- d. Some files did not contain any record of payment.
- e. Students arrived and clocked in within the same six-minute window every morning for five months, before 10 AM, such as 9:53 AM to 9:59 AM.
- f. In the reviewed timecards, the students clocked out 6:30 PM to 6:35 PM on days that they attended 8 hours.
- g. Numerous student timecard records showed that they clocked in at school before 10 AM on days that the BBC inspector visited the College and saw that it was closed. For example, student NL's record showed that they attended school on all four days that the BBC representative visited the school and found it to be closed. Students were granted clock hours for training they did not attend or receive for the day and/or week.
- 34. Respondent stated that the school was open 10 AM to 6 PM on the date of the inspection. When asked how many students were scheduled for class on August 23, 2022, Respondent stated that only four (4) students were currently enrolled, one (1) esthetician student and three (3) cosmetology students. All four students were enrolled in a 1,000-hour program. However, no students arrived on August 23, 2022, and Respondent could not explain why.
- 35. Respondent is not approved by the BBC or the Bureau to provide a 1,000-hour course.

///

1	
2	
3	
4	Cal
5	940
6	equ
7	
8	
9	
10	Cal
11	not
12	ins
13	
14	
15	
16	sec
17	ma
18	to,
19	wil
20	and
21	
22	

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Insufficient Facilities and Equipment)

45. Respondent has subjected its approval to operate to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71735, subdivisions (a) and (b), title 16, sections 940 and 961, and/or California Building Code section 1253.1.1, for insufficient facilities and equipment, as described in paragraphs 25-32.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide Requisite Instruction)

46. Respondent has subjected its approval to operate to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivisions (a) and/or (b), for instruction not being the central focus of the institution and/or failing to provide the requisite direct instruction, as described in paragraphs 18-42.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prohibited Business Practices)

47. Respondent has subjected its approval to operate to disciplinary action under Code section 94897, subdivisions (j) and/or (k) for committing prohibited business practices, including making untrue or misleading changes in, and/or making untrue or misleading statements related to, a record indicating student completion or other document required by the Bureau, and/or willfully falsifying, destroying, or concealing any document of record, by allowing inconsistent and false documenting of student training hours, as described in paragraphs 18-42.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Meet Minimum Operating Standards)

48. Respondent has subjected its approval to operate to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71760, in that Respondent failed to develop and maintain adequate procedures used by the institution to assure that it is maintained and operated in compliance with the Act and the Bureau's regulations, when Respondent failed to document student training hours, as described in paragraphs 18-42.

///

23

24

25

26

27

28

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 2 (Insufficient Assets and Financial Resources) 49. 3 Respondent has subjected its approval to operate to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71745(a)(6), for failing have sufficient assets and 4 5 financial resources, and failing to maintain a ratio of current assets to current liabilities of 1.25 to 1.00 or greater, as described in paragraph 44. 6 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 7 8 (Failure to Comply with Enrollment Requirements) 9 50. Respondent has subjected its approval to operate to disciplinary action under Code section 94902 subdivisions (a) and/or (b), for failing to comply with enrollment requirements, as 10 described in paragraphs 25 and 35. 11 12 SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Failure to Comply with Student Tuition Recovery Fund Record-Keeping Requirements) 13 Respondent has subjected its approval to operate to disciplinary action under Code 51. 14 15 section 76140(b), for failing to provide the requisite Student Tuition Recovery Fund (STRF) 16 records as requested by the Bureau, as described in paragraph 43. EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 17 (Substantive Change) 18 19 52. Respondent has subjected its approval to operate to disciplinary action under Code section 94893, for making a substantive change not in accordance with its accreditation standards, 20 by providing instruction in Vietnamese and providing a 1000-hour cosmetology course, as 21 described in paragraphs 18-37. 22 **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS** 23 53. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, 24 Complainant alleges that on or about July 29, 2021, Bureau staff conducted an announced 25 compliance inspection at the Institution. Bureau staff reviewed student files. Respondent was 26 27 unable to provide all the requested documents for inspection and copying during normal business hours. The Bureau issued Administrative Citation No. 2223011 on August 8, 2022 to 28

Respondent, resulting in the issuance of a \$5,000.00 fine and Order of Abatement, based on the following:

Laws/Regulations Violated	Description
CCR title 5, section 71920(a)(b)(3), CCR title 5, section 71800 (c) and Code section 94902(a)(b)(1)(3)	Bureau staff reviewed student files and found that the files did not contain a copy of the SPFS document as required. In addition, Bureau staff found that the Enrollment Agreement was not complete, as the financial section, program start date, and scheduled completion date sections were missing.
CCR title 5, section 71930(e)	Respondent did not have faculty files for the Bureau staff to review. Respondent stated that faculty information could be found in the catalog. Bureau staff informed Respondent that the Institution must keep faculty records to show proof of education requirements.

The Order of Abatement is under review but has not been deemed compliant.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein further alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Approval to Operate Institution Code No. 60985589, issued to Ninh Thi Nguyen dba Milpitas Massage College (Respondent);
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:	2/24/2023	"Original Signature on File"
		DEBORAH COCHRANE
		Chief
		Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education
		Department of Consumer Affairs
		State of California
		Complainant