BEFORE THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:

GILIGIA COLLEGE

15643 Sherman Way, Unit 140

Van Nuys, CA 91406

BPPE Case No.: 999484

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby accepted and adopted by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs as the Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall be	ecome effective on	August 21	, 2025.
It is so ORDERED	July 18	, 2025.	
	RYAN MA	RCROFT	
	DEPUTY D	DIRECTOR, LEGAL A	FFAIRS DIVISION
	DEPARTM	IENT OF CONSUME	R AFFAIRS

1	ROB BONTA					
2	Attorney General of California NANCY A. KAISER					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LISA A. MILLER					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 281374					
	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702					
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6275					
6	Facsîmile: (916) 731-2126 E-mail: Lisa.Miller@doj.ca.gov					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION					
10	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA				
11						
12	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. 999484				
13	GILIGIA COLLEGE	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC				
14	15643 Sherman Way, Unit 140 Van Nuys, CA 91406	REPROVAL				
15		[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]				
	Approval to Operate No. 55199106					
16	Respondent.					
17						
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGRI	EED by and between the parties to the above-				
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	true:				
20	PART	TES .				
21	I. Deborah Cochrane ("Complainant") is	s the Chief of the Bureau for Private				
22	Postsecondary Education ("Bureau"). She brough	t this action solely in her official capacity and is				
23	represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney	General of the State of California, by Lisa A.				
24	Miller, Deputy Attorney General.					
25	2. Respondent Giligia College ("Respondent"), owned by Hovhanes Kartounian, is					
26	representing itself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise its right to be represented by					
27	counsel.					
28						
	1	,				
	STIP SETTLEMENT	& DISC ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL (999484)				

///

JURISDICTION

- 3. On or about January 3, 2011, the Bureau issued Approval to Operate Number 55199106 to Giligia College ("Respondent"), owned by Hovhanes Kartounian. The Approval to Operate was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2029, unless renewed.
- 4. Petition to Revoke Probation No. 999484 was filed before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director), for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), and is currently pending against Respondent. The Petition to Revoke Probation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 23, 2024. Respondent timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Petition to Revoke Probation. A copy of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 999484 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 999484. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Petition to Revoke Probation; the right to be represented by counsel at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 999484.
- 9. Respondent agrees that its Approval to Operate is subject to discipline and it agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs or the Director's designee. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education may communicate directly with the Director and staff of the Department of Consumer Affairs regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Director considers and acts upon it. If the Director fails to adopt this stipulation as the Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Director shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

///

13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Director may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Approval to Operate No. 55199106 issued to Giligia College (Respondent), owned by Hovhanes Kartounian, shall be restored and publicly reproved by the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education under Business and Professions Code section 495 in resolution of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 999484, attached as exhibit A.

Full Compliance. As a resolution of the charges in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 999484, this stipulated settlement is contingent upon Respondent's full compliance with all conditions of this Order. If Respondent fails to satisfy any of these conditions, such failure to comply constitutes cause for discipline, including outright revocation, of Respondent's Approval to Operate No. 55199106.

On-Site Inspections. An Authorized Representative of Respondent will be present for any on-site inspections. The inspections will be conducted on an announced or unannounced basis and shall be held during normal business hours.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.

I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Approval to Operate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: <u>5/31/3023</u>

GILIGIA COLLEGE, HOVHANES

KARTOUNIAN Respondent

III

1					
2	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>				
3	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby				
4	respectfully submitted for consideration by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs.				
5					
6	DATED:6/30/25 Respectfully submitted,				
7	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California NANCY A. KAISER				
8	NANCY A. KAISER Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
9	Lioa Miller				
10	LISA A. MILLER				
11	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant				
12	11storneys for Complainam				
13					
14					
15	LA2024305672 67406168.docx				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	5				

Exhibit A

Petition to Revoke Probation No. 999484

1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California			
2	NANCY A. KAISER Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	Lisa A. Miller Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 281374			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Talanharas (213) 260 (275)			
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6275 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126			
7	E-mail: Lisa.Miller@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE			
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Case No. 999484			
12	Probation Against:			
13	GILIGIA COLLEGE PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION			
14	15643 Sherman Way, Unit 140 Van Nuys, CA 91406			
15	Approval to Operate No. 55199106			
16	Respondent.			
17	Complainant alleges:			
18	<u>PARTIES</u>			
19	1. Deborah Cochrane ("Complainant") brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in			
20	her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education ("Bureau"),			
21	Department of Consumer Affairs ("Department").			
22	2. On or about January 3, 2011, the Bureau issued Approval to Operate Number			
23	55199106 to Giligia College ("Respondent"), owned by Hovhanes Kartounian. The Approval to			
24	Operate was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June			
25	30, 2029, unless renewed.			
26	3. In a disciplinary action titled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Giligia			
27	College," Case No. 999484, the Director of the Department for the Bureau, issued a decision,			
28	effective January 3, 2020, in which Respondent's Approval to Operate was revoked. However,			
	(GILIGIA COLLEGE) PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION			

i	ı t	
1	the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Approval to Operate was placed on probation for a	
2	period of five (5) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as	
3	Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.	
4	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
5	4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Director of the Department	
6	for the Bureau under Probation Term and Condition Number 12 of the Decision and Order. That	
7	term and condition states:	
8 9 10 11	12. VIOLATION OF PROBATION If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Bureau, after giving respondent notice and opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If an Accusation or a Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Bureau shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended, and respondent shall comply with all probation conditions, until the matter is final.	
12	STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
13	5. Title 5, California Code of Regulations, section 71930 states in part:	
14	••••	
15 16 17	(c) A record is considered current for three years following a student's completion or withdrawal. A record may be stored on microfilm, microfiche, computer disk, or any other method of record storage only if all of the following apply:	
18		
19	(3) The institution has personnel scheduled to be present at all times during normal business hours who know how to operate the devices and can	
20	explain the operation of the devices to any person authorized by the Act to inspect and copy records; and	
21	(4) Any person authorized by the Act or this chapter to inspect and copy records shall be given immediate access to the document reproduction devices	
22	for the purpose of inspecting and copying stored records and shall, upon request, reimburse the institution for the reasonable cost of using the	
23	institution's equipment and material to make copies at a rate not to exceed ten cents (\$0.10) per page.	
24	••••	
25	(e) All records that the institution is required to maintain by the Act or this	
26 27	copying during normal business hours by the Bureau and any entity authorized to	
28		

FACTS

- 6. On August 21, 2024, a Bureau inspector conducted an unannounced compliance inspection at Respondent's facility. Respondent's school catalog listed its office hours of operation as Monday through Friday, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The inspector arrived at Respondent's institution at 10:00 a.m., and the doors to the institution were locked. The inspector knocked on the door and called the school's main number. There was no answer at the institution's doors or on the institution's phone.
- Respondent to Suite 270 in the building. The inspector went to Suite 270, identified herself and announced that she was at Respondent's institution to conduct an inspection. The person she spoke to in Suite 270 (who was not one of Respondent's employees) stated that he would contact Respondent and let them know she was there for an unannounced compliance inspection. The person in Suite 270 called Respondent's Chief Administrative Officer and Financial Aid Director/Officer ("CAO") and put the CAO on the phone with the Bureau's inspector. The CAO informed the Bureau's inspector that she was not at Respondent's institution because it was her day off and she did not have any classes that day. The CAO also stated that Respondent's owner would not be reporting to the school for the inspection. After asking about the school's compliance options, Respondent's CAO told the Bureau's inspector that neither she nor anyone else working for Respondent would be reporting to the facility that day for the inspection.
- 8. On December 5, 2024, the Bureau issued Citation No. 24250178 to Respondent alleging Respondent violated California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71930, subdivision (c)(3)-(4) and (e) (Maintenance of Records). This citation ordered Respondent to pay an administrative fine of \$5,000.
- 9. On December 10, 2024, the Bureau issued Respondent a Notice of Violation because Respondent failed to obey all laws governing the operation of a private postsecondary education institution based on the issuance of Citation No. 24250178 (violation of Probation Term 1) and failed to comply with the terms of probation (violation of Probation Term 2).

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Obey All Laws)

- 10. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 1 stated:
- 1. OBEY ALL LAWS -- Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the operation of a private postsecondary educational institution in California. Respondent shall submit, in writing, a full detailed account of any and all violations of the law to the Bureau within five (5) days of discovery. . . .
- 11. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because Respondent failed to comply with Probation Condition 1, referenced above, by failing to obey all federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing the operation of a private postsecondary educational institution in California. On August 21, 2024, the Bureau attempted to conduct an unannounced compliance inspection. Respondent failed to have personnel available during its published and advertised normal business hours to provide the Bureau's inspector with access to all records required to be maintained. This constitutes a violation of California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71930, subdivisions (c)(3)-(4) and (e). Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 6 through 9, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Comply with Probation Terms)

- 12. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:
- 2. COMPLIANCE WITH PROBATION AND QUARTERLY REPORTING --Respondent shall fully comply with the terms and conditions of probation established by the Bureau and shall cooperate with representatives of the Bureau in its monitoring and investigation of the respondent's compliance with probation. . . .
- 13. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because Respondent failed to comply with Probation Condition 2, referenced above, by failing to comply with all probation terms. As outlined above, on August 21, 2024, Respondent violated California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71930, subdivisions (c)(3)-(4) and (e). This violation constituted a violation of Probation Term 1, which also constitutes a violation of Probation Term 2. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 6 through 9, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

////

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 14. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about January 3, 2020, in a prior disciplinary action titled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Giligia College," before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs in Case No. 999484, Respondent's Approval to Operate was revoked, with the revocation stayed pending a five-year probation. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 15. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about April 26, 2023, in a prior action, the Bureau issued Modified Citation No. 2223096 and ordered Respondent to pay an administrative fine in the amount of \$5,002 for two violations of California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 74110 in conjunction with Education Code section 94934 (Failure to File Annual Report). The Bureau also ordered Respondent to file its 2020 and 2021 annual reports. Respondent complied with the abatement order and paid the fine. The modified citation is now final.
- 16. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
 Complainant alleges that Respondent previously committed the following probation violations:
 - i. On October 21, 2022, the Bureau issued Respondent a Notice of Violation because Respondent failed to submit a 2022 Second Quarter (April 1st to June 30th) Report of Compliance within ten days of completion of the quarter (violation of Probation Term 2); failed to submit a student roster (violation of Probation Term 6); and failed to submit an Operations Auditor written report (violation of Probation Term 17). After the Bureau mailed this Notice of Violation to Respondent, Respondent resolved these violations with the Bureau.
 - ii. On March 17, 2023, the Bureau issued Respondent a Notice of Violation because Respondent failed to submit all components of its 2020 Annual Report, due December 1, 2021, and 2021 Annual Report, due December 1, 2022 (violation of Probation Term 1). As a result of this failure, the Bureau issued Citation No. 2223096 to Respondent and ordered Respondent to pay an administrative fine in the

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION