1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. TRAMA		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
5			
6			
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9441 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13			
14	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 1003602	
15	FREDERICK W. TAYLOR UNIVERSITY		
16		STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
17	Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited		
18	Institutions Applicant		
19	Institution Code: 0702241		
20	Respondent.		
21			
22	Complainant alleges:		
23	PARTIES		
24	1. Dr. Michael Marion, Jr. (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in his		
25	official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, Department of		
26	Consumer Affairs.		
27	2. On or about October 5, 2016, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education		
28	received an application for an Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer		
	1		

1	Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions from Frederick W. Taylor University		
2	(Respondent). On or about September 26, 2016, Mansour Saki and Zhilla Nayeri certified under		
3	penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the		
4	application. The Bureau denied the application on May 21, 2018.		
5	JURISDICTION		
6	3. The former Bureau for Private Postsecondary and Vocational Education sunsetted on		
7	July 1, 2007. On October 11, 2009, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009		
8	(SB 48 and hereinafter, "the Act") was signed into law. The Act, which became operative on		
9	January 1, 2010, established the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (hereinafter		
10	"Bureau").		
11	4. California Education Code Section 94886 states:		
12	Except as exempted in Article 4 (commencing with section 94874) or in		
13	compliance with the transition provisions in Article 2 (commencing with Section 94802), a person shall not open, conduct, or do business as a private postsecondary educational institution in this state without obtaining an approval to operate under this chapter.		
14			
15	5. California Education Code Section 94887 states:		
16	An approval to operate shall be granted only after an applicant has presented sufficient evidence to the bureau, and the bureau has independently		
17	verified the information provided by the applicant through site visits or other methods deemed appropriate by the bureau, that the applicant has the capacity to		
18			
19			
20	STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS		
21	6. Title 5, California Code of Regulations (CCR), section 71100 states:		
22	(a) An applicant seeking approval to operate pursuant to Section 94886 of the Code, other than Approval to Operate by Accreditation pursuant to Section		
23	94890(a)(1) of the Code, shall complete the "Application for Approval to Operate for an Institution Not Accredited," Form Application 94886 (rev. 2/10). An		
24	applicant seeking approval to operate by accreditation pursuant to Section 94890(a)(1) of the Code shall comply with section 71390.		
25	(b) An applicant shall submit the completed form, the information or		
26	documentation required by this Article, the appropriate application fee as provided in Section 94930.5(a)(1) of the Code, and any appropriate annual fee as		
27	required by Article 1 of Chapter 5 of this Division, to the Bureau.		
28			

Bureau received a response to the deficiency letter, which included additional documentation for the Bureau to review.

- 17. On or about, March 8, 2018, a visit was conducted by the Bureau. During the visit, the Bureau met with one of Respondent's instructors, M.Y. At the time of the visit, M.Y. was listed as one of Respondent's instructors for online courses. The Bureau asked M.Y. to give a demonstration of the online platform; however, M.Y. was not familiar with the platform and commented that he did not have much working knowledge of the system. When asked if he had received any training on the use of the platform, M.Y. reported that he had not.
- 18. In addition, when the Bureau toured the facilities during the visit on March 8, 2018, they discovered that the library and resource materials were housed next door in suite 104. Bureau records showed that Respondent's institution was located at 2050 W. Chapman Avenue, Suite 108, Orange, California, 92868. The additional suite (104) was not identified in Bureau records as part of Respondent's school.
- 19. On May 21, 2018, the Bureau denied Respondent's Renewal of Approval to Operate a Non-Accredited Institution and sent Respondent a "Notice of Denial." The denial advised Respondent that the Bureau was unable to determine if faculty members are competent in distance education techniques and trained on the functions of the current platform and that Suite 104 was not identified in Bureau records as being part of Respondent's institution.
- 20. On or about June 26, 2018, Respondent requested an administrative hearing and provided a response to the Notice of Denial, along with additional information and documents. With regard to training faculty, Respondent stated that instructor M.Y. had undergone online training. However, Respondent did not submit any documentation (training log/sign-in sheet, certification, etc.) that demonstrated that M.Y. and other faculty members had been trained on the current platform. With regard to Suite 104, Respondent provided a site map which included the suite that was subleased by Respondent; however, they did not submit an updated Section 1 of Form Application 94891 (rev. 7/10) to reflect the current physical address and all suite numbers that belonged to the institution.

2.7

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION (Failure to Meet Requirements for Distance Education) 2 21. Respondent's application is subject to denial under California Education Code 3 section 94887 and title 5, CCR, sections 71100 and 71700, in conjunction with title 5, CCR, 4 sections 71720, subdivision (a)(9) and 71715, subdivisions (d)(3) and (d)(4), for failure to show 5 that Respondent could meet the minimum operating standards for distance education in that 6 7 Respondent was unable to demonstrate that faculty members were competent in distance education techniques and trained on the functions of the current platform, as set forth in 8 paragraphs 13 through 20, above. 9 SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION 10 (Failure to Meet Minimum Operating Standards - Library) 11 22. Respondent's application is subject to denial under California Education Code 12 section 94887 and title 5, CCR, section 71100, in conjunction with title 5, CCR, sections 71735, 13 14 subdivision (a) and 71475, subdivision (c)(4), in that Respondent failed to meet minimum 15 operating standards in that Respondent's library and resource materials were housed in a suite which was not identified in Bureau records as being part of Respondent's institution, as set forth 16 in paragraphs 13 through 20, above. 17 **PRAYER** 18 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 19 and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a 20 decision: 21 1. Denying the application of Frederick W. Taylor University for Renewal of Approval 22 to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for a Non-Accredited Institution; 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27

111

28

1	2. Taking such other and further	action as deemed necessary and proper.
2		
3	DATED: 3///9	
4	DATED:	DR. MICHAEL MARION, JR.
5		Chief Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6		State of California  Complainani
8	SD2018701576 71743989.docx	Сотринин
9		
10	·	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	`	7