1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California				
2	KIM KASRELIOVICH Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
3	MICHAEL YI Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 217174				
4					
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013				
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6483 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126				
7	E-mail: Michael.Yi@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant				
8					
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
10	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12					
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1006223			
14	COMPUTER INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY; RENE AGUERO,	ACCUSATION			
15	OWNER 6444 Bellingham Avenue, Suite 201, 202				
16	North Hollywood, CA 91605				
17	Institution Code: 1936171				
18	Respondent.				
19		l			
20	<u>PARTIES</u>				
21	1. Deborah Cochrane (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official				
22	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of				
23	Consumer Affairs.				
24	2. On February 6, 1998, the Bureau issued Approval to Operate Institution Code				
25	1936371 to Computer Institute of Technology; Rene Aguero, Owner (Respondent). The				
26	Approval to Operate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this				
27	Accusation.				
28	1				
	1				

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Education Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Business and Professions Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Bureau of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 94875 provides that the Bureau shall regulate private postsecondary educational institutions.
 - 6. Section 94877 states, in relevant part, that:
- "(a) The bureau shall adopt and shall enforce regulations to implement this chapter pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code).
- (b) The bureau shall develop and implement an enforcement program, pursuant to Article 18 (commencing with Section 94932) to implement this chapter . . ."
 - 7. Section 94937 states that:
- "(a) As a consequence of an investigation, which may incorporate any materials obtained or produced in connection with a compliance inspection, and upon a finding that an institution has committed a violation, the bureau may place an institution on probation or may suspend or revoke an institution's approval to operate for:
 - (1) Obtaining an approval to operate by fraud.
- (2) A material violation or repeated violations of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter that have resulted in harm to students. For purposes of this paragraph, 'material violation' includes, but is not limited to, misrepresentation, fraud in the inducement of a contract, and false or misleading claims or advertising, upon which a student reasonably relied in executing an enrollment agreement and that resulted in harm to the student.

- (b) The bureau shall adopt regulations, within one year of the enactment of this chapter, governing probation and suspension of an approval to operate.
- (c) The bureau may seek reimbursement pursuant to Section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (d) An institution shall not be required to pay the cost of investigation to more than one agency."
- 8. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 75100 provides that the Bureau may suspend, revoke or place on probation with terms and conditions an approval to operate.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 9. Section 71920 states:
- "(a) The institution shall maintain a file for each student who enrolls in the institution whether or not the student completes the educational service.
- (b) In addition to the requirements of section 94900, the file shall contain all of the following pertinent student records:
- (1) Written records and transcripts of any formal education or training, testing, or experience that are relevant to the student's qualifications for admission to the institution or the institution's award of credit or acceptance of transfer credits including the following:
- (A) Verification of high school completion or equivalency or other documentation establishing the student's ability to do college level work, such as successful completion of an ability-to-benefit test;
- 10. Section 74112, subdivision (m), states: "Documentation supporting all data reported shall be maintained electronically by the institution for at least five years from the last time the data was included in either an Annual Report or a Performance Fact Sheet and shall be provided to the Bureau upon request; the data for each program shall include at a minimum:
- (1) the list of job classifications determined to be considered gainful employment for the educational program;

- 12. Section 94899.5, subdivision (e), states: "At the student's option, an institution may accept payment in full for tuition and fees, including any funds received through institutional loans, after the student has been accepted and enrolled and the date of the first class session is disclosed on the enrollment agreement."
- 13. Section 94902, subdivision (a), states: "A student shall enroll solely by means of executing an enrollment agreement. The enrollment agreement shall be signed by the student and by an authorized employee of the institution."
- 14. Section 94902, subdivision (b), states: "An enrollment agreement is not enforceable unless all of the following requirements are met:
- (1) The student has received the institution's catalog and School Performance Fact Sheet prior to signing the enrollment agreement.

. . .

- (3) Prior to the execution of the enrollment agreement, the student and the institution have signed and dated the information required to be disclosed in the Student Performance Fact Sheet pursuant to subdivisions (a) to (d), inclusive, of Section 94910. Each of these items in the Student Performance Fact Sheet shall include a line for the student to initial and shall be initialed and dated by the student."
- 15. Section 94904, subdivision (a), states: "Except as provided in subdivision (c), before an ability-to-benefit student may execute an enrollment agreement, the institution shall have the student take an independently administered examination from the list of examinations prescribed by the United States Department of Education pursuant to Section 484(d) of the federal Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 1070a et seq.). The student shall not enroll unless the student achieves a score, as specified by the United States Department of Education, demonstrating that the student may benefit from the education and training being offered."
- 16. Section 94912 states: "Prior to the execution of an enrollment agreement, the information required to be disclosed pursuant to subdivisions (a) to (d), inclusive, of Section 94910 shall be signed and dated by the institution and the student. Each of these items shall also be initialed and dated by the student."

Company sent J. B.'s voucher to CIT; (2) J. B. did not attend CIT; and (3) CIT failed to refund the voucher funds.

22. On May 11, 2021, the Bureau Investigator visited CIT and met with Rene Aguero ("Aguero"). Aguero admitted that J. B. did not attend CIT. Aguero provided an incomplete enrollment agreement purportedly signed and initiated by J. B., on January 23, 2020. Aguero also provided an invoice from CIT to Sedgwick Glendale Insurance Company, dated February 4, 2020, for \$5,000.00. The invoice amount included \$4,925.00 in "Tuition" for "Computer Office Software" and \$75.00 registration fee. Aguero admitted that he received and cashed J. B.'s voucher, but could not recall if he refunded the funds. Aguero issued the refund check for \$5,000.00 during the visit.

G. P. COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION

- 23. On February 23, 2021, the Bureau received a complaint from G. P. alleging that he signed an enrollment agreement with CIT. CIT later informed G. P. that CIT no longer offered the program (phlebotomy). G. P. cancelled his enrollment and requested that CIT refund his tuition in the amount of \$6,000.00 to the State Compensation Insurance Fund (SCIF). CIT failed to refund the tuition.
- 24. On March 5, 2021, the Bureau Investigator talked to Aguero, who admitted that G. P. enrolled at CIT for the phlebotomy program, but that the program had been cancelled. Aguero also admitted to failing to reimburse the tuition funds to SCIF. CIT purportedly mailed the refund check to SCIF on March 5, 2021.
- 25. On May 11, 2021, the Bureau Investigator visited CIT and met with Aguero. Aguero provided an enrollment agreement dated July 10, 2019, for an "Office Software" course purportedly signed and initiated by G. P. on December 10, 2019. The enrollment agreement did not have Respondent's authorized employee signature. Aguero also provided the voucher form issued by SCIF, and G. P.'s refund request letter to Respondent.
- 26. On May 25, 2021, SCIF notified the Bureau Investigator that it had not received the refund check from CIT, and that SCIF did not use a handwritten signature to endorse refund checks, as shown on the refund check purportedly mailed by CIT on March 5, 2021.

T. H. COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION

- 27. On July 10, 2020, the Bureau received a complaint from T. H. alleging that Respondent fraudulently enrolled students with vouchers without providing education, training or benefits, and refused to refund the tuition.
- 28. On October 27, 2020, the Bureau Investigator interviewed T. H., Executive Director of OneWorkComp, Inc. T. H. stated that he filed the complaint on behalf of J. L., V. G. and G. S., who did not enroll at, or attend CIT, and wanted reimbursement of their cashed vouchers issued by SCIF to CIT.
- 29. On May 11, 2021, the Bureau Investigator visited CIT and met with Aguero. Aguero provided an incomplete enrollment agreement dated March 8, 2019, purportedly signed and initiated by J. L. on March 8, 2019. Aguero also provided an invoice from CIT to SCIF for J. L. in the amount of \$6,000.00. The invoice amount included \$5,925.00 in "Tuition" for "Office Software Specialist" and \$75.00 registration fee. Aguero also provided J. L.'s voucher form, which identified CIT as his training provider. After admitting that J. L. did not attend CIT, Aguero provided a copy of the refund check purportedly mailed to SCIF on January 14, 2020.
- 30. Aguero told the Bureau Investigator that he did not have any record of V. G. attending CIT. The Bureau Investigator showed V. G.'s enrollment agreement at the CIT Riverside location to Aguero. The Bureau Investigator also informed Aguero that that CIT cashed V. G.'s \$9,000.00 voucher, and that CIT reimbursed \$4,500.00 to SCIF. Aguero denied knowledge of V. G. attending CIT, but explained that a former employee used CIT's name and tax identification number to create a false CIT campus.
- 31. Aguero told that the Bureau Investigator that he did not have documentation for G. S. CIT cashed G. S.'s voucher for \$3900.00, but failed to provide proof of a refund. Aguero claimed that a former employee used CIT's name and tax identification number to create a false CIT campus.
- 32. On May 25, 2021, SCIF notified the Bureau Investigator that it had not received J. L.'s refund check from CIT, and that SCIF did not use a handwritten signature to endorse refund checks, as shown on the refund check purportedly mailed by CIT on January 14, 2020.

////

////

41. On October 20, 2021, the Bureau Investigator talked to O. S., who related that:
(1) CIT never contacted him about the start date; (2) he never received any documents from CIT, including an enrollment agreement; and (3) he never signed or initialed the enrollment agreement produced by Aguero.

MAY 2021 INSPECTION

- 42. On May 11, 2021, Bureau Inspectors conducted an unannounced inspection of CIT. The Bureau Inspectors met with Aguero and provided an inspection checklist and deficiency letter. Specific files were requested for the inspection, including School Performance Fact Sheets (SPFS). Aguero failed to provide documentation substantiating the data reported on the SPFS for 2018-2019. CIT's student files also failed to contain signed copies of the SPFS. Aguero was asked whether he provided SPFS for students to sign and date prior to signing an enrollment agreement. Aguero stated that he directed students to CIT's website, which at the time of the inspection, did not have current SPFS's for 2018-2019.
- 43. The student files produced by Aguero did not contain verification of high school completion or other documentation establishing the student's ability to do college level work. Aguero stated that his students did not have high school diplomas, and took an ATB ("Ability-to-Benefit") test for admissions. The student files did not contain copies of ATB tests and Aguero could not provide documentation that the students took and passed an ATB test. Aguero produced binders containing scantrons for 2015-2016 and 2020-2021. Aguero did not know where the scantrons for 2017-2019 were located. The only other paperwork in the binders was a list of students included on the test score sheets, but there was no documentation verifying whether the test sheets were mailed to CELSA (Combined English Language Skills Assessment (published by ACTT)) for scoring. Two students, J. A. and A. P., had blank answer sheets, but appeared on CIT's STRF (Student Tuition Recovery Fund) back-up document for enrollment in the first quarter of 2021. Aguero did not have an explanation for this discrepancy. The binders did not include cover sheets for any of the students that took the ATB test in 2021.

1	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
2	(Prohibited Business Practices)		
3	44. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
4	section 94897, subdivision (k), in that Respondent falsified enrollment agreements for J. B., J. L.,		
5	V. G., G. S. and O. S, who did not enroll at, or attend CIT. Complainant incorporates paragraph		
6	20-22, 27-33, and 39-41, as though fully stated here.		
7	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
8	(Mandatory Cancellation, Withdrawal, and Refund Policies)		
9	45. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
10	section 94920, subdivision (e), in that Respondent cancelled its Phlebotomy program prior to		
11	G. P.'s attendance, but failed to reimburse the voucher funds. Complainant incorporates		
12	paragraphs 23-26, as though fully stated here.		
13	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
14	(General Enrollment Requirements)		
15	46. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
16	section 94902, subdivision (a), in that Respondent's authorized employee failed to sign G. P's		
17	enrollment agreement. Complainant incorporates paragraphs 23-26, as though fully stated here.		
18	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
19	(Collection of Tuition)		
20	47. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
21	section 94899.5, subdivision (e), in that Respondent accepted the full voucher payment for J. D		
22	without an enrollment agreement. J. D. did not enroll at, or attend CIT. Respondent also failed		
23	to refund the payment. Complainant incorporates paragraphs 34-38, as though fully stated here.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	<u>FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u>			
2	(Material Violations of Bureau Rules and Regulations)			
3	48.	48. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
4	sections 7	sections 74112, subdivision (m), 94912, 94902, subdivisions (b)(1) & (b)(3), 71920(b)(1)(A),		
5	and 94904, subdivision (a). Complainant incorporates paragraphs 42-43, as though fully stated			
6	here.			
7	<u>PRAYER</u>			
8	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in thi			
9	Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affair			
10	issue a decision:			
11	1.	1. Revoking Approval to Operate Institution Code 1936371 issued to Respondent;		
12	2.	2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education the		
13	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and			
14	Professions Code section 125.3; and			
15	3.	Taking such other and f	further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
16				
17				
18	DATED:	"12/2/2021"	"Original Signature on File"	
19			DEBORAH COCHRANE Chief	
20			Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
21			State of California Complainant	
22			•	
23	LA2021604	071		
24	LA2021004	0/1		
25				
26				
27				
28				
	i			