| 1 | ROB BONTA | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Attorney General of California SHAWN P. COOK | | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA S. SHUSTER | | | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 297307 | | | |
| 5 | 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 | | | |
| 6 | Telephone: (213) 269-6333 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 | | | |
| 7 | E-mail: Joshua.Shuster@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant | | | |
| 8 | BEFOR | RE THE | | |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION | | | |
| 10 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: | Case No. BPPE25-0321 | | |
| 13 | THE PROVIDENCE COLLEGE | STATEMENT OF ISSUES | | |
| 14 | Application for Renewal of Approval to | | | |
| 15 | Operate and Offer Educational Programs | | | |
| 16 | Institution Code Number 3007651 | | | |
| 17 | Respondent. | | | |
| 18 | | <u>J</u> | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | PAR | TIES | | |
| 21 | Deborah Cochrane (Complainant) br | ings this Statement of Issues solely in her official | | |
| 22 | capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Po | ostsecondary Education, Department of | | |
| 23 | Consumer Affairs. | | | |
| 24 | 2. On or about December 7, 2022, the E | Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education | | |
| 25 | (Bureau) received an application for Renewal of | Approval to Operate and Offer Educational | | |
| 26 | Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions from T | The Providence College (Respondent), owned by | | |
| 27 | The Providence College (Aboli N'dri, CEO/CFO/COO/CAD). On or about October 31, 2022, | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | 1 | | | |

Aboli N'dri certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Bureau denied the application on February 26, 2025.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Education Code (Code) section 94886 states:

Except as exempted in Article 4 (commencing with Section 94874) or in compliance with the transition provisions in Article 2 (commencing with Section 94802), a person shall not open, conduct, or do business as a private postsecondary educational institution in this state without obtaining an approval to operate under this chapter.

5. Code section 94887 states:

An approval to operate shall be granted only after an applicant has presented sufficient evidence to the bureau, and the bureau has independently verified the information provided by the applicant through site visits or other methods deemed appropriate by the bureau, that the applicant has the capacity to satisfy the minimum operating standards. The bureau shall deny an application for an approval to operate if the application does not satisfy those standards.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Education Code Section 94891 provides, in pertinent part:
- (a) The bureau shall adopt by regulation the process and procedures whereby an institution may obtain a renewal of an approval to operate.
- (b) To be granted a renewal of an approval to operate, the institution shall demonstrate its continued capacity to meet the minimum operating standards.
- 7. Education Code Section 94900.5 provides:

An institution shall maintain, for a period of not less than five years, at its principal place of business in this state, complete and accurate records of all of the following information:

(a) The educational programs offered by the institution and the curriculum for each.

Graduation or Completion Documents, School Performance Fact Sheets, Institution Contact
Person, Agent for Service of Process within California, Advertising and Other Public Statements,
Instructions in Languages other than English, Job Placement Assistance, Record Keeping,
Custodian of Records, Declaration under Penalty of Perjury, and STRF Fee.

15. On or about February 10, 2023, the Bureau's Licensing Unit received a letter and documents submitted to address the deficiencies with its application.

Second Deficiency Letter

- 16. On or about March 6, 2023, the Bureau's Licensing Unit sent a letter identifying deficiencies in the following sections of the application: Institution, Form of Business Organization, Owners, Institution Representative, Exemplars of Student Agreements, Financial Resources and Statements, Catalog, Graduation or Completion Documents, School Performance Fact Sheets, Record Keeping, Custodian of Records, and STRF Fee.
- 17. On or about April 20, 2023, the Bureau's Licensing Unit received a letter and documents submitted to address the deficiencies with its application.

Third Deficiency Letter

- 18. On or about May 8, 2023, the Bureau's Licensing Unit sent a letter identifying deficiencies in the following sections of the application: Institution, Form of Business Organization, Owners, Exemplars of Student Agreements, Financial Resources and Statements, Catalog, Graduation or Completion Documents, Record Keeping/Custodian of Records, School Performance Fact Sheets, and STRF Fee.
- 19. On or about June 14, 2023, the Bureau's Licensing Unit received a letter and documents submitted to address the deficiencies with its application.

Fourth Deficiency Letter

20. On or about July 10, 2023, the Bureau's Licensing Unit sent a letter identifying deficiencies in the following sections of the application: Owners, Exemplars of Student Agreements, Financial Resources and Statements, Catalog, Graduation or Completion Documents, Record Keeping/Custodian of Records, School Performance Fact Sheets, and STRF Fee.

21. Between August 11, 2023 and October 17, 2023, the Bureau's Licensing Unit received letters and documents submitted to address the deficiencies with its application.

QEU Deficiency Letter

- 22. On or about December 13, 2024, the Bureau's Quality of Education Unit (QEU) sent a letter identifying deficiencies in the following sections of the application: Institution Contact Person, Form of Business Organization, Agent for Service of Process within California, Organization and Management, Advertising and Other Public Statements, Instruction and Degrees Offered, Description of Educational Program, Faculty, and Catalog.
- 23. On or about January 21, 2025, the Bureau's QEU Unit received a letter and documents submitted to address the deficiencies with its application.

Notice of Denial of Application

- 24. On or about February 26, 2025, the Bureau mailed a Notice of Denial of Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited to Respondent.
- 25. On or about April 15, 2025, the Bureau received an appeal of the denial of Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited.
- 26. On or about June 30, 2025, the Bureau received a mitigation packet submitted to address the deficiencies with its application.
- 27. On or about July 17, 2025, the Bureau emailed Respondent acknowledging receipt of its June 30, 2025 Mitigation Packet, and notifying Respondent of the following remaining deficiencies: (1) Respondent did not identify or quantify the number of hours students are expected to devote outside of the institution to prepare for planned learning experiences, preventing the Bureau from verifying that total hours are sufficient for awarding semester credit, in violation of Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 71475(t)(4); (2) Respondent did not identify a qualified individual to create or revise the curriculum for the approved MicroComputer Office Specialist program, violating § 71710(a)(3); (3) the syllabus provided for Computerized Medical Billing II was incomplete and not clearly labeled for review, and appears to count homework assignments as instructional hours, violating §§ 71710(a)(3)(A), (C), and (D); (4) the syllabus for the MicroComputer Office Specialist program contains conflicting information regarding course

length and class meeting times, violating §§ 71710(a)(3)(C) and (D); (5) respondent did not specify how faculty evaluate student learning or what assessments are used to document learning outcomes, violating §§ 71710(a)(5) and (6) and 71715(b); and, (6) respondent failed to identify or contract with duly qualified faculty to teach in the MicroComputer Office Specialist program, violating §§ 71475(x), 71700, and 71720(b).

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Provide or Demonstrate Educational Program that Meets Minimum Operational Standards)

28. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code sections 94887 and 94891, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71475, subdivision (t)(4), in that Respondent failed to identify or quantify the number of hours students are expected to devote outside of the institution to prepare for planned learning experiences, preventing the Bureau from verifying that total hours are sufficient for awarding semester credit. Additionally, Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 14 through 26, as though set forth in full herein.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Provide or Demonstrate Faculty that Meets Minimum Operational Standards)

29. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code sections 94887 and 94891, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (a)(3), in that Respondent failed to identify duly qualified faculty to create or revise the curriculum for the approved MicroComputer Office Specialist program. Additionally, Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 14 through 26, as though set forth in full herein.

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | //

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Provide or Demonstrate Course and Module Materials that Meets Minimum Operational Standards)

30. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code sections 94887 and 94891, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivisions (a)(3)(A), (C), and (D), in that Respondent provided a syllabus for Computerized Medical Billing II that was incomplete and not clearly labeled for review, and also appears to count homework assignments as instructional hours. Additionally, Respondent provided a syllabus for the MicroComputer Office Specialist program that contains conflicting information regarding course length and class meeting times. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 14 through 26, as though set forth in full herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Provide or Demonstrate Course and Module Materials that Meets Minimum Operational Standards)

31. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code sections 94887 and 94891, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71710, subdivision (a)(5) and (6), and 71715, subdivision (b), in that Respondent provided a syllabus for the MicroComputer Office Specialist program that contains conflicting information regarding course length and class meeting times. Additionally, Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 14 through 26, as though set forth in full herein.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Provide or Demonstrate Evaluation of Learning Outcomes that Meets Minimum Operational Standards)

32. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code sections 94887 and 94891, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71700, 71720, subdivision (b), and 71475, subdivision (x), in that Respondent failed to specify how faculty

| 1 | evaluate student learning and what assessments are used to document learning outcomes. | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Additionally, Respondent failed to identify or contract with duly qualified faculty to instruct the | | |
| 3 | MicroComputer Office Specialist program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference | | |
| 4 | incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 14 through 26, as though set forth in | | |
| 5 | full herein. | | |
| 6 | SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION | | |
| 7 | (Failure to Provide or Demonstrate Faculty that Meets Minimum | | |
| 8 | Operational Standards) | | |
| 9 | 33. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code sections 94887 | | |
| 10 | and 94891, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision | | |
| 11 | (a)(3), in that Respondent failed to identify or contract with a duly qualified faculty to teach in the | | |
| 12 | MicroComputer Office specialist program. Additionally, Complainant refers to, and by this | | |
| 13 | reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 14 through 26, as though set | | |
| 14 | forth in full herein. | | |
| 15 | <u>PRAYER</u> | | |
| 16 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | | |
| 17 | and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a | | |
| 18 | decision: | | |
| 19 | 1. Denying the application of The Providence College, owned by The Providence | | |
| 20 | College with Aboli N'dri as CEO/CFO/COO/CAD, for a Renewal of Approval to Operate and | | |
| 21 | Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions; and, | | |
| 22 | 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | DATED: 11/6/2025 "Original signature on file" DEBORAH COCHRANE | | |
| 25 | Chief | | |
| 26 | Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Department of Consumer Affairs | | |
| 27 | Department of Consumer Affairs State of California | | |
| 28 | Complainant | | |

| 1 | | |
|----|-----------------|---------|
| 2 | 39234074.docx | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 10 STATEMENT OF | ICCLIEC |