1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CRISTINA FELIX	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195663	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2455 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	E-mail: Cristina.Felix@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
8		RE THE
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION	
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 1000414
13	AMERICAN SPORTS UNIVERSITY	FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	American Sports University, Owner, Non- Profit Public Benefit	
15	Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited	
16	Applicant Applicant	,
17	Institution Code 14960906	
18	Respondent.	
19	•	
20	Complainant alleges:	
21	PAR	TIES
22	Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) bring	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official
23	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, Department of	
24	Consumer Affairs (the Bureau).	
25	///	
26	///	
27		
28		
		1
	FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF ISSUES (AMERICAN SPORTS UNIVERSITY; Case No. 1000414)

- 2. On or about, May 23, 2007, the former Bureau for Private Postsecondary and Vocational Education¹ issued Full Approval to Operate Institution Code Number 14960906 to American Sports University, American Sports University, Owner, Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation. Said Full Approval to Operate expired on June 30, 2014.
- 3. On July 30, 2014, the Bureau received an Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited from American Sports University, Jane Hwang, 50% owner, and Harry Hwang, 50% Owner. On August 11, 2014, the Bureau advised Respondent that the application was incomplete. On or about September 12, 2014, the Bureau received another Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited from American Sports University. The application listed Jane Hwang as 100 % owner, and Harry Hwang as Chief Executive Officer. The application was dated July 29, 2014 and Jane Hwang and Harry Hwang certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Bureau denied the September 12, 2014 application on February 9, 2015. Respondent appealed the denial on April 1, 2015.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Education Code² unless otherwise indicated.
 - 5. Section 94891 states³:
 - "(a) The bureau shall adopt by regulation the process and procedures whereby an institution may obtain a renewal of an approval to operate.
 - (b) To be granted a renewal of an approval to operate, the institution shall demonstrate its continued capacity to meet the minimum operating standards.

///

The former BPPVE sunsetted on July 1, 2007. On October 11, 2009, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009 ("Act") (AB 48) was signed into law. The Act, became operative on January 1, 2010, and established the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education ("Bureau")

Education ("Bureau").

² California Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009, Ed. Code §§ 94800 et seq.

³ Effective January 1, 2015.

- 1	
1	(c) (1) An institution that is denied renewal of an approval to operate may file an appeal in accordance with the procedures established by the bureau pursuant to Section 94888.
2	
3	(2) An institution that has filed an appeal of a denial of a renewal application may continue to operate during the appeal process, but must disclose in a
4	written statement, approved by the bureau, to all current and prospective students, that the institution's application for renewal of approval to operate was denied by the bureau because the bureau determined the application did not satisfy the requirements
5	to operate in California, that the institution is appealing the bureau's decision, and that the loss of the appeal may result in the institution's closure.
6	(3) If the bureau determines that the continued operation of the institution
7	during the appeal process poses a significant risk of harm to students, the bureau shall make an emergency decision pursuant to its authority provided in Section 94938."
8	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
9	6. Section 94886 states:
10	Section 9 1000 states.
11	"Except as exempted in Article 4 (commencing with Section 94874) or in compliance with the transition provisions in Article 2 (commencing with Section
12	94802), a person shall not open, conduct, or do business as a private postsecondary educational institution in this state without obtaining an approval to operate under this chapter."
13	
14	7. Section 94887 states:
15	"An approval to operate shall be granted only after an applicant has
16	presented sufficient evidence to the bureau, and the bureau has independently verified the information provided by the applicant through site visits or other methods deemed appropriate by the bureau, that the applicant has the capacity to satisfy the minimum
17	operating standards. The bureau shall deny an application for an approval to operate if the application does not satisfy those standards."
18	
19	8. Section 94894 states:
20	"The following changes to an approval to operate are considered substantive changes and require prior authorization:
21	(a) A change in educational objectives, including an addition of a new
22	diploma or a degree educational program unrelated to the approved educational programs offered by the institution.
23	(b) A change in ownership.
24	(c) A change in control.
25	
26	(d) A change in business organization form.
27	
28	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

9.	Section	94909	states:

"(a) Except as provided in subdivision (d), prior to enrollment, an institution shall provide a prospective student, either in writing or electronically, with a school catalog containing, at a minimum, all of the following:

(8) A detailed description of institutional policies in the following areas:

(A) Admissions policies, including the institution's policies regarding the acceptance of credits earned at other institutions or through challenge examinations and achievement tests, admissions requirements for ability-to-benefit students, and a list describing any transfer or articulation agreements between the institution and any other college or university that provides for the transfer of credits earned in the program of instruction. If the institution has not entered into an articulation or transfer agreement with any other college or university, the institution shall disclose that fact."

10. Section 94913 states:

- "(a) An institution that maintains an Internet Web site shall provide on that Internet Web site all of the following:
 - (1) The school catalog.
- (2) A School Performance Fact Sheet for each educational program offered by the institution.
 - (3) Student brochures offered by the institution.
 - (4) A link to the bureau's Internet Web site.
 - (5) The institution's most recent annual report submitted to the bureau.
- (b) An institution shall include information concerning where students may access the bureau's Internet Web site anywhere the institution identifies itself as being approved by the bureau."

11. Section 94932 of the Education Code states:

"The bureau shall determine an institution's compliance with the requirements of this chapter. The bureau shall have the power to require reports that institutions shall file with the bureau in addition to the annual report, to send staff to an institution's sites, and to require documents and responses from an institution to monitor compliance. When the bureau has reason to believe that an institution may be out of compliance, it shall conduct an investigation of the institution. If the bureau determines, after completing an investigation, that an institution has violated any applicable law or regulation, the bureau shall take appropriate action pursuant to this article."

///

25

26

27

bylaws.

- (7) The name, title, address, email address, telephone number, nature of interest and percentage of ownership of each person, as defined in section 94855 of the Code, who owns or controls 25% or more of the stock or an interest in the institution and, to the extent applicable, each general partner, officer, corporate director, member of the board of directors, and any other person who exercises substantial control over the institution's management or policies. For the purpose of this paragraph, a person exercises "substantial control over the institution's management or policies" if the person has the authority to cause the institution to expend money or incur debt in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) or more in any year.
- (f) The renewal application shall include a statement from any person identified in subdivision (h)(1) of this section who -
- (1) Was found in any judicial or administrative proceeding to have violated the Act or the law of any other state related to untrue or misleading advertising, the solicitation of prospective students for enrollment in an educational service, or the operation of a postsecondary school;
- (2) Was denied any type of license on grounds set forth in Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code;
- (3) Was adjudicated as responsible for the closure of an institution in which there were unpaid liabilities to the state or federal government or any uncompensated pecuniary losses suffered by students;
- (4) Has stipulated to a judgment or administrative order or entered a consent decree involving any of the matters described in this section.
- (5) Was convicted of any misdemeanor or felony as provided in Section 480(a)(1) of the Business and Professions Code;
- (h) The institution shall include in its application the name, title, physical address, telephone number, fax number, and e-mail address for the agent for service of process in California as required by section 94943.5 of the Code. The agent shall be at an address other than the address of the institution or any branch. The agent must confirm the information and acknowledge in writing that he or she is the designated agent for service of process. The information shall be kept current pursuant to section 74190.
- (i) The institution shall include in its application an organization chart that shows the governance and administrative structure of the institution and the relationship between faculty and administrative positions. If there have been no substantive changes since the last submission of an organizational chart, the institution may so state and is not required to submit documentation.
- (n) The institution shall describe in the application, in detail its mission and objectives. If there have been no substantive changes since the last submission,

1	(b) The catalog shall contain the information prescribed by Section 94909 of the Code and all of the following:	
2		
3	(2) A statement of the institution's missions and purposes and the	
4	objectives underlying each of its educational programs;	
5	•••	
6	(6) The institution's policies and practices regarding any form of financial aid, including all consumer information which the institution is required to disclose to	
7	the student under any state or federal financial aid program;	
8	•••	
9	20. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71770 states:	
10	"	
11	(b) The institution shall specify the maximum credit it will transfer from	
12	another institution for each educational program, and the basis upon which the transferred credit will be awarded.	
13	(1) Except as limited by subdivision (c) of this section, a maximum of 75	
14	percent of the units or credit that may be applied toward the award of a bachelor's degree may be derived from a combination of any or both of the following:	
15	(A) Units earned at institutions approved by the Bureau, public or private institutions of higher learning accredited by an accrediting association recognized by	
16	the U. S. Department of Education, or any institution of higher learning, including foreign institutions, if the institution offering the undergraduate program documents	
17 18	that the institution of higher learning at which the units were earned offers degree programs equivalent to degree programs approved by the Bureau or accredited by an accrediting association recognized by the U.S. Department of Education;	
19	(B) Challenge examinations and standardized tests such as the College	
20	Level Placement Tests (CLEP) for specific academic disciplines."	
21	21. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71130, states:	
22	"(a)(1) The institution shall identify the name, address, email address, and	
23	telephone number of each person, as defined in section 94855 of the Code, who owns or controls 25% or more of the stock or an interest in the institution and, to the extent	
24	applicable, each general partner, officer, corporate director, member of the board of directors and any other person who exercises substantial control over the institution's management or policies. For the purpose of this paragraph, a person exercises "substantial control over the institution's management or policies" if the person has	
25		
26	the authority to cause the institution to expend money or incur debt in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) or more in any year.	
27		
28	(c) The institution shall provide a statement from any person identified in	

ownership in its July 30, 2014 Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited when it listed Jane Hwang, 50% owner, and Harry Hwang, 50% Owner. Also, the September 12, 2014 renewal application listed Jane Hwang as 100 % owner, and Harry Hwang as Chief Executive Officer. In addition, its November 26, 2014 letter in response to the Bureau's inquiry stated that Jane Hwang was 100% owner. The Bureau's records reflect ownership by American Sports University. Respondent violated Section 94894 and California Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71640 and 71475(c)(6) and (7);

- b. <u>Compliance Organizational Chart:</u> Respondent failed to submit an accurate and compliant organizational chart. The revised organizational chart submitted in response to the deficiency letter does not indicate the relationship of two main positions: faculty and Chief Executive Officer, in relation to the administrative positions in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71475, subdivision (i);
- c. <u>Comprehensive and Accurate Mission and Objectives:</u> Respondent failed to provide a comprehensive and accurate mission and objectives for the institution and each of the educational programs in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71475, subdivision (n), as follows:
- (1) The institution's mission indicates that the sole academic focus is on the discipline of Sports Education. The institution offers a degree in Bachelor of Science (BS) in Personal Security Management and certificate programs in Personal Security Management, Intensive English language programs (Beginner, Intermediate and Advanced) and TOEFL Instruction. On page 4 of the catalog, none of the institutional objectives address the fulfillment of the above degree and certificate programs;
- (2) The institution failed to provide an accurate mission statement for the BS in Sports Management. On page 13 of the catalog, the mission for BS in Sports Management states: "American Sports University aims to prepare graduates with technology and principles of security management including global terrorism and emergency management;"
- (3) The institution failed to list program objectives and a list of courses fulfilling the stated mission for the BS in Sports Training and Fitness. On page 15 of the catalog, the mission

for the BS in Sports Training and Fitness is "to prepare graduates with technology and principles of sports training and fitness." None of the stated objectives and/or sequences of courses address the technology aspect of the mission;

d. <u>Instruction and Degrees Offered:</u> The mission and objectives for the BS in Personal Security Management degree on page 10 of the catalog are not associated with the field of Sports or Sports Management. The Bureau is unable to determine whether 36 units of core sports related courses and 16 units of sports activity course are appropriate for the BS in Personal Security Management. This is a violation of California Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71710 and 71475, subdivision (n).

e. Faculty:

- (1) Respondent failed to provide evidence that the following ten instructors are qualified to teach their assigned courses: LB⁴, PCR, RF, JSK, BGIM, TWM, LB, DH, DBS, and TAB. This is a violation of California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720, subdivisions (a) (1), (4) and (9);
- (2) In the "Instructors' Teaching Assignments" list Respondent listed "E/M⁵" as faulty that teach several physical education courses. Respondent failed to submit verification of qualifications for the following faculty member(s): "E/M:" This is a violation of California Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71720, subdivisions (a) (1) and (4), and 71475, subdivision (x).

f. Catalog:

(1) The institution catalog failed to contain an accurate statement of the institution's missions and purposes and the objectives underlying each of the institutional programs in its catalog. The mission and objective statements on page 4 of the catalog do not address the degree in BS in Personal Security Management, certificate programs in Personal Security Management, Intensive English language programs (Beginner, Intermediate and Advanced) and TOEFL

⁴ Initials are being used to protect the individual's identity. Individuals will be identified during the course of discovery.

Initials could not be used as Respondent did not provide the full name of these faculty member(s). In addition, Respondent listed what appears to be two last names. Complainant was not able to determine if one or two individuals would be teaching this subject.

Instruction. This is a violation of California Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71810, subdivision (b)(2);

- (2) The institution's website provides the name of five sister schools "with whom the university exchanges faculty and students and cooperates in education and projects." The catalog does not indicate whether the institution has entered into an articulation or transfer agreement with any other college or university. If the institution has not entered into an articulation or transfer agreement with any other college or university, the institution shall disclose that fact. This is a violation of Section 94909, subdivision (a)(8)(A);
- (3) The admission policy on page 42 of the catalog for allowing students to earn credits by passing an oral examination is not in compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71770, subdivision (b). Transfer credits from another institution, written standardized tests, and challenge examinations could be the basis for awarding bachelor level credits. This is a violation of Section 94909(a)(8)(A) and California Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71770, subdivision (b)(1)(A-B);
- (4) Respondent's catalog does not contain the institution's policies and practices, including required disclosures regarding any form of financial aid in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71810, subdivision (b)(6).
- g. <u>Website:</u> An institution that maintains an Internet website must provide the following in its site: a School Performance Fact Sheet for each educational program offered by the institution, student brochures offered by the institution, the most recent annual report submitted to the Bureau, a link to the Bureau's Internet Website, including anywhere the institution identifies itself as being approved by the Bureau. Respondent's website failed to provide these items in violation of Section 94913.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure To Disclose Conviction)

26. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 94932 and Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71130, subdivision (c)(5), and 71475, subdivision (f), and Business and Professions Code 490, subdivision (a), in that it failed to provide a statement that Harry Hwang

was convicted in 2002 of bribery in any of the applications it submitted to the Bureau. On		
February 4, 2002, Harry Hwang plead guilty to two felony counts of violating 18 United States		
Code section 666, subdivision (a)(2), Bribery Concerning Programs Receiving Federal Funds in		
the case entitled USA v. Harry Hwang, United States District Court, Central District, Case No.		
2:01-MJ-01990. He was sentenced to three years probation, with terms and conditions, including		
payment of fines. The circumstances of the conviction are that Harry Hwang, who was doing		
business as JD Trust Escrow, failed to disclose and actively concealed information related to a		
loan. On or about November 10, 2004, the Bureau received Respondent's Application for		
Approval to Operate a Degree Granting Institution, Application No. 17860. The application was		
signed under penalty of perjury, on October 1, 2004 by Harry Hwang, on December 3, 2003 by		
Chun Y. Lee and on December 6, 2003 by Charles T. Moffitt, Members of the Board of		
Directors. Harry Hwang's title was listed as the "Chair of the Board" and he was also listed as a		
"Member, Board of Directors." Respondent failed to disclose Mr. Hwang's felony convictions.		
On or about May 23, 2007, full approval was issued to operate Institution Code Number 1460906		
to Respondent, American Sports University. Said Full approval expired on June 30, 2014. On		
July 30, 2014, the Bureau received an Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate an		
Institution Non-Accredited from American Sports University, Jane Hwang, 50% owner, and		
Harry Hwang, 50% Owner. Again, Respondent failed to disclose Mr. Hwang's felony		
convictions. On August 11, 2014, the Bureau advised Respondent that the application was		
incomplete. On or about September 12, 2014, the Bureau received another Application for		
Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited from American Sports University.		
The application listed Jane Hwang as 100 % owner, and Harry Hwang as Chief Executive		
Officer. The application was dated July 29, 2014 and Jane Hwang and Harry Hwang certified		
under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the		
application. Respondent failed to disclose Mr. Hwang's felony conviction.		
///		

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

111

111

25

26

27 28 (Failure to Pay Timely Refunds)

- Respondent is subject to discipline under sections 94932 and 94937, subdivision (a)(2), and CCR, title 5, section 71745, subdivisions (a)(4), in that the institution failed to pay a timely refund to two students, HKP and SC. The circumstances are as follows:
- (a) On or about April 14, 2010 an amended default judgment was entered against Respondent in the amount of \$265,028.01 in the case entitled HKP⁶ v. American Sports University, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS 903403. On March 16, 2009, a former student HKP sued Respondent and Harry Hwang for breach of contract and fraud. HKP alleged that he withdrew from Respondent to enroll in another school reasonably believing he would be refunded for an entire second semester within 30 days of his last day of class as stated in Respondent's refund policy and as promised by Respondent's Financial Director. HKP sought a total loss of tuition in the amount of \$12,491.96 and punitive damages in the amount of \$250,000.00 for Negligent Misrepresentation of Material Fact and Promise Without Intent to Perform.
- (b) On October 31, 2011, a judgment was entered against American Sports University in the amount of \$695.00 in the case entitled SC v. American Sports University, Jane Hwang, agent, San Bernardino Superior Court, Victorville District, Case No. SMCVS1102438. The circumstances are that on August 26, 2011, a former student, SC, sued Respondent and alleged that she paid \$695 for class fees and the class was cancelled before the course was completed due to lack of instructor. She further alleged that she requested a refund but Respondent refused to refund her the money. On June 27, 2012, SC filed a declaration in Superior Court stating that Respondent had failed to pay the judgment.

⁶ Initials are being used to protect the individual's identity. Individuals will be identified during the course of discovery.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violated Facilities and Equipment Requirements)

28. Respondent is subject to discipline under sections 94932 and CCR, title 5, section 71735, subdivision (b) in that the school has not been properly maintained. On August 19, 2014, Bureau investigators conducted an unannounced site visit to Respondent's campus. The school is housed in a two-story building, which has a separate theatre that is rented out for events and a sports center that is rented out for classes and sporting events. The visit revealed that the school has not been properly maintained. There is visible damage to the school's buildings including water damage to the ceilings, walls and wooden floors. Plaster and paint are peeling from walls. Panels are missing from the ceiling. The floors were warped and uneven, and the dorms smelled of mold. Mr. Hwang confirmed that the dormitory area had been flooded.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Have Sufficient Assets and Financial Resources to Pay Operating Expenses)

- 29. Respondent is subject to discipline under sections 94932 and CCR, title 5, section 71745, subdivisions (a)(5) and (a)(6), in that the institution failed to document, and will be unable to document, that it has sufficient assets and financial resources to pay all operating expenses within 30 days, including as follows:
- a. Respondent failed to have sufficient financial resources to pay all of its operating expenses because it failed to pay wages to several employees, including including BSH, EB, JC, TW, NWF, DC, and RC. Further, Respondent failed to disclose the lawsuits filed by the employees and its inability to pay the wages, including in its July 30, 2014 application. The circumstances are as follows:
- (1) On September 25, 2009, an order was issued by the Labor Commissioner of the State of California, in Case No. 09-36611SM, requiring payment of wages, plus interest and waiting time penalties, against Respondent and in favor of BSH, a former employee. On November 20, 2009, final judgment was entered based on the Labor Commissioner's order totaling \$13,989.47 in the case entitled *BSH v. American Sports University*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS916819;.

- (2) Respondent also failed to pay the staff wages of EB, a former employee. On September 29, 2009, an order was issued against Respondent by the Labor Commissioner of the State of California, in Case No. 09-3667SM, requiring payment of wages, plus interest and waiting time penalties, in favor of EB, a former employee. The order stated that Respondent acknowledged the wages were due but Respondent did not have the finances to pay the wages. On December 9, 2009, judgment was entered based on the Labor Commissioner's order totaling \$10,060.53 in the case entitled *EB v. American Sports University*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS917505;
- (3) Respondent also failed to pay the staff wages of JC, a former employee. On August 23, 2011, an order was issued against Respondent by the Labor Commissioner of the State of California, in Case No. 09-40171CC, requiring payment of 8 months of wages, plus interest and waiting time penalties, in favor of JC. On October 25, 2011, final judgment was entered based on the Labor Commissioner's order totaling \$33,539.48 in the case entitled *JC v. Pacific Property Investment & Management, Inc. dba American Sports University*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1112595;
- (4) Respondent also failed to pay the staff wages of TW, a former employee. Respondent also failed to pay the staff wages of TW, a former employee On July 13, 2012, an order was issued by the Labor Commissioner of the State of California, in Case No. 09-43893CC, requiring payment of wages, plus interest, fees and waiting time penalties, against Respondent and in favor of TW, a former employee. On July 19, 2012, final judgment was entered based on the Labor Commissioner's order totaling \$16,212.04 in the case entitled *TW v. Pacific Property Investment & Management, Inc. dba American Sports University*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1208505;
- (5) Respondent also failed to pay the staff wages of NWF, a former employee. On November 7, 2012, an order was issued by the Labor Commissioner of the State of California, in Case No. 09-45724CC, requiring payment of wages, plus interest, fees and waiting time penalties, against Respondent and in favor of NMF, a former employee. On January 15, 2013, final judgment was entered based on the Labor Commissioner's order totaling \$3,005.83 in the case

entitled NMF v. Pacific Property Investment & Management, Inc. dba American Sports University, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1300536;

- (6) Respondent also failed to pay the staff wages of DC, a former employee. On August 13, 2013, an order was issued by the Labor Commissioner of the State of California, in Case No. 09-49584CC, requiring payment of wages, plus interest, fees and waiting time penalties, against Respondent and in favor of DC, a former employee. On October 4, 2013, final judgment was entered based on the Labor Commissioner's order totaling \$3,765.95 in the case entitled *DC v. Pacific Property Investment & Management, Inc. dba American Sports University*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1312175;
- (7) Respondent also failed to pay the staff wages of RC, a former employee. On October 14, 2013, an order was issued by the Labor Commissioner of the State of California, in Case No. 09-47856CC, requiring payment of wages, plus interest, fees and waiting time penalties, against Respondent and in favor of RC, a former employee. On December 5, 2013, final judgment was entered based on the Labor Commissioner's order totaling \$56,958.59 in the case entitled RC v. Pacific Property Investment & Management, Inc. dba American Sports University, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1315003.
- b. Also, Respondent is facing several liabilities which it failed to disclose and which indicate that the institution cannot document sufficient assets and financial resources to pay all operating expenses as required, including the following: April 14, 2010 amended default judgment entered against American Sports University in the amount of \$265,028.01 in the case entitled *HKP v. American Sports University*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS 903403. In addition, on November 17, 2011, judgment was entered against Respondent, and its owners in the case entitled *Interstate Properties v. Harry Hwang, et al*, San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS106355 in the sum of \$537,358.40. On the breach of lease cause of action, Hyung Hwang, aka Harry Hwang, was ordered to pay to plaintiff, the sum of \$537,358.40, and as to the cause of action for fraudulent transfer, Hyung Hwang, aka Harry Hwang, Jung Hee Shin, aka Jung Hee Hwang (Harry Hwang's wife), Pacific Property Investment and Management, Inc. and Respondent American Sports University, jointly and severally, were ordered to pay

- 1		
1	Plaintiff the sum of \$537,358.40. The court clarified that Harry Hwang's total obligation was	
2	\$537,358.40. Plaintiff alleged that Harry Hwang failed to make lease payments after May 14,	
3	2009 on a property located in Huntington Park. Plaintiffs also alleged that Harry Hwang	
4	collected rents from sub-tenants at this location and gave the money to Pacific Property	
5	Investment and Management, Inc., Jung Hee Shin, aka Jung Hee Hwang, and Respondent	
6	American Sports University to avoid monetary obligations Respondent's owners did not notify	
7	the Bureau of these lawsuits and judgments.	
8	<u>PRAYER</u>	
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
10	and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a	
11	decision:	
12	1. Denying the application of American Sports University for a Renewal of Approval to	
13	Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institution;	
14	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
15		
16	DATED: 62116 JOANNE WENZEL	
17	Chief Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education	
18	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
19	Complainant LA2015500923	
20	51834749_5.doc	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		