1	XAVIER BECERRA			
2	Attorney General of California LINDA L. SUN			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL YI			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 217174			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013			
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6483 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126			
7	E-mail: Michael.Yi@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant			
8	morneys for complainant			
9	BEFORE THE			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION			
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12		L.C. N. 1005062		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1005062		
14	CURENTUR INSTITUTE DBA AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF			
15	COMPLEMENTARY MEDICINE 11543 Olympic Boulevard	ACCUSATION		
16	Los Angeles, CA 90064			
17	School Code: 50047222 Institution Code: 1926331			
18	Respondent.			
19				
20				
21	PART	TIES		
22	1. Dr. Michael Marion, Jr. (Complainant	t) brings this Accusation solely in his official		
23	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of			
24	Consumer Affairs.			
25	2. On or about February 29, 1996, the Bureau issued Approval to Operate Institution			
26	Code 1926331 to Curentur Institute doing business as American University of Complementary			
27	Medicine (Respondent). The Approval to Operate an Accredited Institution was in full force and			
28				
	1			
	(CURENTUR INSTITUTE DBA AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF COMPLEMENTARY MEDICINE) ACCUSATION			

1	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on February 9, 2021,	
2	unless renewed.	
3	JURISDICTION	
4	3. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer	
5	Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All section	
6	references are to the Education Code unless otherwise indicated.	
7	4. Business and Professions Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the	
8	suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Bureau of	
9	jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may	
10	be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.	
11	5. Section 94875 provides that the Bureau shall regulate private postsecondary	
12	educational institutions.	
13	6. Section 94877 states, in relevant part, that:	
14	"(a) The bureau shall adopt and shall enforce regulations to implement this chapter pursuant	
15	to the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of	
16	Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code).	
17	"(b) The bureau shall develop and implement an enforcement program, pursuant to Article	
18	18 (commencing with Section 94932) to implement this chapter"	
19	7. Section 94937 states that:	
20	"(a) As a consequence of an investigation, which may incorporate any materials obtained or	
21	produced in connection with a compliance inspection, and upon a finding that an institution has	
22	committed a violation, the bureau may place an institution on probation or may suspend or revoke	
23	an institution's approval to operate for:	
24	(1) Obtaining an approval to operate by fraud.	
25	(2) A material violation or repeated violations of this chapter or regulations adopted	
26	pursuant to this chapter that have resulted in harm to students. For purposes of this paragraph,	
27	'material violation' includes, but is not limited to, misrepresentation, fraud in the inducement of a	
•		
28	2	
I		

1	contract, and false or misleading claims or advertising, upon which a student reasonably relied in		
2	executing an enrollment agreement and that resulted in harm to the student.		
3	(b) The bureau shall adopt regulations, within one year of the enactment of this chapter,		
4	governing probation and suspension of an approval to operate.		
5	(c) The bureau may seek reimbursement pursuant to Section 125.3 of the Business and		
6	Professions Code.		
7	(d) An institution shall not be required to pay the cost of investigation to more than one		
8	agency."		
9	8. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 75100 provides that the Bureau may		
10	suspend, revoke or place on probation with terms and conditions an approval to operate.		
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS		
12	9. Section 94927 states: "An institution shall be considered in default of the enrollment		
13	agreement when an educational program is discontinued or canceled or the institution closes prior		
14	to completion of the educational program. When an institution is in default, student institutional		
15	charges may be refunded on a pro rata basis if the bureau determines that the school has made		
16	provision for students enrolled at the time of default to complete a comparable educational		
17	program at another institution at no additional charge to the students beyond the amount of the		
18	total charges in the original enrollment agreement. If the institution does not make that		
19	provision, a total refund of all institutional charges shall be made to students."		
20	COST RECOVERY		
21	10. Section 94937, subdivision (d), provides that the Bureau may seek reimbursement		
22	costs of investigation and enforcement pursuant Business and Professions Code section 125.3.		
23	11. Business and Professions Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the		
24	Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a		
25	violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the		
26	investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the		
27	license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and		
28	enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.		

1	FACTUAL BACKGROUND
2	12. On January 16, 2019, the Bureau issued an Order Suspending Approval to Operate
3	Degree Granting Programs (Order) to Respondent. The Order was based on Respondent's failure
4	to meet accreditation candidacy or pre-accreditation by July 1, 2017 <sup>1</sup> , as required by section
5	94885.1. The Bureau ordered Respondent to submit a degree program closure plan within 30
6	days of the effective date of the Order (January 21, 2019), with a teach-out plan for students to
7	complete their programs at another institution. The teach-out plan had to: (1) include the name
8	and location of the institution(s) providing the teach-out; (2) include a plan for the disposition of
9	student records pursuant to section 94927.5; (3) be compliant with the refund provisions of
10	section 94927; and (4) include a copy of the notification to be provided to students. The Bureau
11	prohibited Respondent from teaching-out its own students.
12	13. The Bureau ordered Respondent to notify all current students that it received a notice
13	of suspension from the Bureau and could no longer offer degree programs. Respondent was also
14	ordered to notify students of the teach-out plan, including: (1) the name and location of the
15	teach-out institution(s); (2) the date which instruction at the teach-out institution(s) will begin;
16	(3) payment information to the teach-out institution(s) and other relevant financial information;
17	and (4) a contact person at the teach-out institution(s). Respondent had to notify students of their
18	right to seek refunds for any current or not yet completed classes, if they chose not to participate
19	in the teach-out. The refund had to be provided within 45 days of the request.
20	14. The degree program closure plan submitted by Respondent stated that teach-out
21	arrangements were made with the following institutions: SomaVeda College of Natural Medicine
22	(SomaVeda), located in Brooksville, Florida, and Daoist Traditions, College of Chinese Medicine
23	(Daoist Traditions), located in Ashville, North Carolina. The closure plan also stated that
24	"Students will be given a refund of any classes the student is currently enrolled in or has not yet
25	completed if the student does not choose the teach-out option."
26	
27 28	<sup>1</sup> The Bureau granted Respondent several extensions to achieve accreditation or pre-accreditation to October 4, 2010

pre-accreditation to October 4, 2010.

Respondent's student notification letter stated, in pertinent part, that: 15.

"2. For students who are officially enrolled as well as those who may not be officially enrolled due to violation of university enrollment policies, we are negotiating a teach out plan that would allow you to complete a doctorate degree in your respective field of study at another university in the event our appeal is denied.

1

2

3

4

5

6

The State has approved this institution [SomaVeda and Daoist Traditions], however we are 7 8 still negotiating the terms of the teach-out to try to ensure all of your credits will be fully recognized and that the amount of remaining coursework and time to completion will be 9 relatively the same. Once again, we are also appealing to the State to allow us to offer the teach-out ourselves . . .

12

10

11

3. Once a teach-out is negotiated, you will have the right to choose not to participate in the 13 teach-out and instead to seek a refund for any classes you are currently enrolled in or have not yet 14 completed." 15

On September 23, 2019, the Bureau received a complaint from H. K.<sup>2</sup> alleging that 16. 16 Respondent failed to provide a "legitimate teach-out plan" to her and other students. H. K. 17 alleged that the teach-out institute (later identified as SomaVeda) did not allow continuing 18 enrollment in their degree program. SomaVeda required that students start its degree program 19 from the beginning. H. K. also alleged that Respondent denied her request for a full refund. 20

On December 23, 2019, a Bureau Investigator ("Bureau Investigator") spoke with 17. 21 A. J., the Dean and Director of SomaVeda. A. J. related that he discussed teach-out arrangements 22 with Joseph Chai (Chai), Respondent's Representative and Chief Operations Officer, but they 23 never made or memorialized an agreement. 24

25 ////

26

////

//// 27

28

<sup>2</sup> Individuals' initials are used to protect their identities.

18. On December 23, 2019, the Bureau Investigator spoke with C. G., the Administrative 1 Director of Daoist Traditions. C. G. confirmed that Daoist Traditions did not enter into an 2 agreement with Respondent for teach-out instruction. On January 14, 2020, H. K. confirmed to 3 the Bureau Investigator that she was unaware of Respondent's teach-out agreement with Daoist 4 5 Traditions. 19. On January 15, 2020, the Bureau Investigator spoke with Chai about Respondent's 6 teach-out plan. Chai stated that the Order gave him the impression that he only had to enter into a 7 8 teach-out agreement with other institutions if current students were interested in the teach-out. 9 Chai stated that none of his students wanted to attend another institution, so he did not continue 10 with the teach-out plan with SomaVeda. Chai also related that most of his students verbally communicated that they did not want to participate in the teach-out agreement. Chai confirmed 11 12 that he did not send additional notification to students confirming the teach-out agreement with SomaVeda or Daoist Traditions. Respondent failed to provide verification of any agreements 13 with any teach-out institution. 14 On May 7, 2020, H. K. informed the Bureau Investigator that she was unable to enroll 15 20. at another institution because she could not match the requirements, and would have to start over 16 17 at the institution. H. K. also stated that she requested a full refund from Chai, which was denied. FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 18 (Failure to Provide Teach Out Option) 19 21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, subdivision (a)(2), 20for violating section 94927, in that Respondent failed to provide teach-out option(s) to H. K. 21 when her degree program was discontinued. Respondent did not enter into an agreement with 22 23 any teach-out institution for comparable educational programs, as required by the Bureau. Complainant incorporates paragraphs 12-20, as though fully stated herein. 24 25 //// 26 //// //// 27 28 //// 6 (CURENTUR INSTITUTE DBA AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF COMPLEMENTARY MEDICINE) ACCUSATION

1	PRAYER				
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,				
3	and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a				
4	decision:				
5	1. Revoking Approval to Operate Institution Code Number 1926331 issued to				
6	Respondent;				
7	2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education the				
8	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and				
9	Professions Code section 125.3; and,				
10	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.				
11					
12					
13	DATED: <u>"9/28/2020"</u> <u>"Original signature on file"</u> DR. MICHAEL MARION, JR.				
14	Chief Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education				
15	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California				
16	Complainant				
17					
18	LA2020602178				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
_0					
	7 (CURENTUR INSTITUTE DRA AMERICANI UNIVERSITY OF COMPLEMENTARY MEDICINE)				
	(CURENTUR INSTITUTE DBA AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF COMPLEMENTARY MEDICINE) ACCUSATION				