	· · ·		
1 2	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
3			
4			
5	In the Matter of the Citation Against:	Case No. 1002674	
6	SAN FRANCISCO INSTITUTE OF	OAH No. 2020040544	
7	ARCHITECTURE; FRED STITT, OWNER	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
8	Respondent.	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
9			
10	FINDINGS OF FACT		
11	1. On or about July 15, 2019, Complainant Dr. Michael Marion, Jr., in his official		
12	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of		
13	Consumer Affairs, filed Affirmed Citation No. 1819122 (Affirmed Citation) against San		
14	Francisco Institute of Architecture; Fred Stitt, Owner (Respondent) before the Director of the		
15	Department of Consumer Affairs. (Affirmed Citation attached as Exhibit A.)		
16	2. On or about July 15, 2019, Respondent was served with the Affirmed Citation.		
17	3. On or about March 20, 2019, Respondent signed and returned a Notice of Appeal of		
18	Citation, with regard to the Affirmed Citation, requesting a hearing in this matter.		
19	4. On or about April 24, 2020, a Notice	of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's	
20	addresses of record which were and are as listed below. The Notice of Hearing informed them		
21	that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for December 7, 2020.		
22	3075 Adeline St.		
23	Berkeley, CA 94703		
24	PO Box 2590 Alameda, CA 94501		
25			
26	207 N. Service Rd., E. Box 18		
27	Ruston, LA 71270.		
28		1	
		DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
	In the Matter of the Citation Against San Francisc	o Institute of Arch.; Fred Stitt, Owner (Case No. 1002674)	

1	5. Service of the Hearing was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of			
2	Government Code section 11505(c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.			
3	6. The matter was called for hearing at the date, time and location set forth in the Notice			
4	of Hearing. The assigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ found that the service of the Notice of			
5	Hearing on Respondent was proper. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Respondent. A			
6	default was declared and on motion of counsel for Complainant, the matter was remanded to the			
7	Bureau under Government Code section 11520. (The ALJ's Findings and Declaration of Default;			
8	Order of Remand is attached as Exhibit B.)			
9	7. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:			
10	files a notice of defense and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense			
11				
12	shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.			
13	8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:			
14	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express			
15 16	admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent			
17	9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, and after having			
18	reviewed the Notice of Hearing and proof of service, the Director finds that Respondent is in			
19	default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based upon evidence provided			
20	by Complainant contained in the attached Default Decision Investigatory Evidence packet,			
21	upholds the issuance of Citation No. 1819122.			
22	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES			
23	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent San Francisco Institute of			
24	Architecture; Fred Stitt, Owner, is subject to discipline.			
25	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.			
26	3. The Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs is authorized to uphold			
27	Affirmed Citation No. 1819122 issued against Respondent based on the following violations			
28	2			
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER			
	In the Matter of the Citation Against San Francisco Institute of Arch.; Fred Stitt, Owner (Case No. 1002674)			

alleged in the Affirmed Citation, which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default 1 Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case: 2 3 a. On or about May 12, 2017, the Bureau was informed that the Respondent is operating without Bureau approval. 4 b. Respondent has an active website under www.SFIA.net, which advertises the 5 following degree programs/prices: Green MBA Master of Business Administration in 6 Sustainability, \$4,800; Master of Science in Green Building, \$4,800; Master of Project 7 Management, 3,600; Master of Architecture, \$2,800-\$12,950; Master of Ecological Design, 8 9 \$2,400-\$7,500; Bachelor of Science in Architecture \$4,200; Bachelor of Science in Ecological Design, \$3,600; Associate of Arts in Architecture \$4,200; and an Associate of Arts in Ecological 10 Design, \$3,600. 11 c. Respondent is offering all courses as online distance learning only. However, 12 the Respondent's website advertises a California presence with a mailing address of P.O. Box 13 2590, Alameda, California 94501 and a local phone number of (510) 523-5174. 14 15 d. Respondent continues to offer programs through its website. In doing so, Respondent violated California Education Code section 94944 and California Code of 16 Regulations, title 5, section 75020. 17 /// 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 3 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

In the Matter of the Citation Against San Francisco Institute of Arch.; Fred Stitt, Owner (Case No. 1002674)

1	ORDER		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Affirmed Citation No. 1819122, issued to Respondent San		
3	Francisco Institute of Architecture; Fred Stitt, Owner, is upheld.		
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
.6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
8	This Decision shall become effective onJUN_0_2_2021		
9	It is so ORDERED April 25, 2021		
10	L A		
11			
12	FOR THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
13	BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION		
14			
15	SF2020200733/42465181,DOCX		
16	Attachment: Exhibit A - Citation		
17	Exhibit B - Findings and Declaration of Default; Order of Remand		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
20			
28			
<i>4</i> 0	4		