3. On or about August 8, 2016, the Bureau issued a letter denying Respondent's application for an Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited. On or about October 11, 2016, Respondent appealed the Bureau's denial of their application and requested a hearing.

- 4. On or about June 26, 2017, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Statement of Issues No. 1002165, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address on the application form, which was and is 42 Catalina Ave, Pasadena, CA 91106. A copy of the Statement of Issues No. 1002165, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 was also sent to Tu Truong, Michelle Tsaur and Ryan Doan at addresses provided on the application form. A copy of the Statement of Issues is attached as Exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 6. On or about October 11, 2016, Respondent appealed the denial of their application and requested a hearing in this action. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address on the application and it informed Respondent that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for January 10, 2018. The Notice of Hearing is attached as Exhibit B. On or about January 9, 2018, the applicant withdrew their request for a hearing. The withdrawal of request for a hearing is attached as Exhibit C.
 - 7. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) The withdrawal of an application for a license after it has been filed with a board in the department shall not, unless the board has consented in writing to such withdrawal, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order denying the license upon any such ground.
- 8. The matter was called for hearing at the date, time and location set forth in the Notice of Hearing. The assigned Administrative Law Judge found that the service of the Notice of Hearing on Respondent was proper. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Respondent. A default was declared and on motion of counsel for Complainant, the matter was remanded to the

///

28

- c. violation of Code sections 94887, 94902(b)(3), 94910, 94912, in conjunction with title 5, CCR, sections 71100 and 74112 the institution's School Performance Fact Sheet (SPFS) does not have a place for the student's initials and date under each item of information;
- d. violation of Code section 94887 and title 5, CCR, sections 71100 and 71700, in conjunction with Code section 94885.5(a)(2) failure to provide the required accreditation plan;
- e. violation of Code section 94887 and title 5, CCR, section 71100 in conjunction with title 5, CCR, section 71210(c)(1), (3) and (6) and Code sections 94909(a)(5) and (a)(8)(A) failure to demonstrate capacity to satisfy minimum operating standards pertaining to admissions and general education requirements for Bachelors of Business Administration;
- f. violation of Code section 94887 and title 5, CCR, section 71100 in conjunction with title 5, CCR, section 71710(e) failure to demonstrate capacity to satisfy minimum operating standards pertaining to the description of education programs;
- g. violation of Code section 94887 and title 5, CCR, section 71100 in conjunction with title 5, CCR, section 71715(d)(3) failure to demonstrate its faculty are competent in distance education techniques and familiar with the distance education learning management system utilized by the institution;
- h. violation of Code section 94887 and title 5, CCR, section 71100 in conjunction with title 5, CCR, sections 71240, 74115 and 71745 failure to demonstrate that Respondent has at all times sufficient assets and financial resources to provide all of the educational programs that it represented it would provide and to ensure that all students admitted to its educational programs have a reasonable opportunity to complete the programs and obtain their degrees or diplomas;
- i. violation of Code section 94887 and title 5, CCR, section 71100 in conjunction with title 5, CCR, section 71720(a)(1), (a)(4), (a)(5) and (a)(9) failure to demonstrate that it employs duly qualified faculty in that Respondent failed to provide the curricula vitae and transcripts requested by the Bureau for Respondent's faculty members;
- j. violation of under Code section 94887 and title 5, CCR, section 71100 in conjunction with title 5, CCR, section 71250 failure to provide a contract, or tentative contract,

ORDER 1 2 IT IS SO ORDERED that the application of Respondent American Cornerstone University is hereby denied. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 7 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 8 This Decision shall become effective on 9 It is so ORDERED March 10 11 12 13 RYAN MARCROFT DEPUTY DIRECTOR, LEGAL AFFAIRS 14 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 15 16 DOJ docket number:SD2016703101 81926165.docx 17 18 Attachments: 19 Exhibit A: Statement of Issues No. 1002165 packet 20 Exhibit B: Notice of Hearing 21 Exhibit C: Withdrawal of Request for Hearing 22 Exhibit D: Findings and Declaration of Default; Order of Remand 23 24 25 26 27 28