1	KAMALA D. HARRIS					
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392					
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101					
6	P.O. Box 85266					
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3154					
	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION					
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA				
11						
12	In the Matter of the First Amended Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 1000838				
13	or issues Agamst.	FIRST AMENDED				
14	NEWPORT PSYCHOANALYTIC	STATEMENT OF ISSUES				
15	INSTITUTE; NEWPORT CENTER FOR PSYCHOANALYTIC STUDIES, OWNER,					
16	BARRY ROSS, CEO					
17	School Code: 3008151					
18	Respondent.					
19						
20	Complainant alleges:					
21	PARTIES					
22	1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this First Amended Statement of Issues solely					
23	in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education,					
24	Department of Consumer Affairs.					
25	2. On or about April 2, 2012, the Burea	nu for Private Postsecondary Education				
26	(hereinafter "Bureau") received an Application f	or Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution				
27	Non-Accredited (Application # 24645) from Newport Psychoanalytic Institute, Newport Center					
28	for Psychoanalytic Studies, Owner, Barry Ross, CEO (Respondent). On or about March 23,					
	·	1				

## Name of Educational Program (Program Length)

Calendar Year	Number of Students Who Began Program <sup>1</sup>	Students Available for Graduation <sup>2</sup>	Graduates³	Completion Rate <sup>4</sup>
20XX	100	98	70	71%
20XY	80	80	55	69%

Students Completing After Published Program Length - 150% Completion Rate

### Name of Educational Program (Program Length)

Calendar Year	Number of Students Who Began Program <sup>1</sup>	Students Available for Graduation <sup>2</sup>	150% Graduates <sup>5</sup>	150% Completion Rate <sup>6</sup>
20XX	100	98	25	26%
20XY	80	80	23	29%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Number of Students Who Began Program" is the number of students who began the program who are scheduled to complete the program within the reporting calendar year.

### **FACTS**

13. On April 2, 2012, the Bureau received an Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate a Non-Accredited Institution, Application Number 24645, from Respondent. Respondent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Students available for graduation" is the number of students who began program minus the number of "Students unavailable for graduation," which means those students who have died, been incarcerated, or called to active military duty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Graduates" is the number of students who completed the program within 100% of the published program length.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Completion Rate" is the number of Graduates divided by the Number of Students Available for Graduation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "150% Graduates" is the number of students who completed the program within 101-150% of the published program length.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> "150% Completion Rate" is the number of students who completed the program in the reported calendar year within 101-150% of the published program length divided by the Number of Students Available for Graduation in the published program length period.

had been approved to offer the following degree programs: Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Psychoanalysis and Doctor of Psychiatry (Psy.D.) in Psychoanalysis. Respondent had also been approved to offer non-degree educational programs in Psychoanalysis and Dynamic Psychotherapy.

- 14. On November 4, 2013, the Bureau sent Respondent a letter advising that the Bureau could not grant Respondent a renewal of approval to operate because of deficiencies in the application, including deficiencies pertaining to the institution's enrollment agreement, school catalog, and financial statements and resources. On February 4, 2014, the Bureau received Respondent's response to the deficiency letter.
- 15. On November 4, 2014, the Bureau sent Respondent a second letter advising that the Bureau could not grant Respondent a renewal of approval to operate because of deficiencies in the application, including deficiencies pertaining to the institution's enrollment agreement, school catalog, and financial statements and resources. On November 13, 2014, the Bureau received Respondent's response to the deficiency letter.
- 16. On February 23, 2015, the Bureau sent Respondent a third letter advising that the Bureau could not grant Respondent a renewal of approval to operate because of deficiencies in the application, including deficiencies pertaining to the institution's organization and management, enrollment agreement, the instruction and degrees offered, the description of the educational programs, school catalog, financial statements and resources, faculty, and graduation or completion document. On March 27, 2015, the Bureau received Respondent's response to the deficiency letter.
- 17. On June 5, 2015, the Bureau denied Respondent's Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate a Non-Accredited Institution and sent Respondent a "Notice of Denial."
  - 18. On February 2, 2016, the Bureau received additional documents from Respondent.

///

<u>l</u>.

27 | ///

28 | ///

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

# (Failure to Demonstrate Continued Capacity to Satisfy Minimum Operating Standards - Qualifications of Faculty)

- 19. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code section 94891, subdivision (b), and title 5, CCR, section 71720, subdivisions (a)(1), (4), (5) and (9), and title 5, CCR, section 71865, subdivision (c)(3)(C) and (D) in that Respondent failed to demonstrate that the faculty members identified are duly qualified and of sufficient expertise to provide the instruction and learning outcomes necessary for the institution to achieve its stated mission and objectives, as follows:
- a. Respondent failed to submit evidence of qualifications such as resumes/curriculum vitae and transcripts for J. S.
  - b. Respondent failed to submit transcripts for A.D.
- c. Respondent failed to submit course assignments and employment contracts to demonstrate that its faculty members are employed by Respondent and that they possess the degree, professional license, or credential, at least equivalent to the level of instruction being taught or evaluated.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

# (Failure to Demonstrate Continued Capacity to Satisfy Minimum Operating Standards - School Performance Fact Sheets and Annual Report)

- 20. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code section 94891, subdivision (b), and section 94934, subdivision (a) and title 5, CCR, Section 74112, subdivisions (c) and (d) in that the institution's website failed to provide correct School Performance Fact Sheet ("SPFS") and annual report information as follows:
- a. The institution's 2013-2014 SPFS for its main campus was inconsistent with the data provided in its 2013 Annual Report. Examples are as follows:
- i. Doctor of Philosophy in Psychoanalysis: For the reporting category labeled "Number of Students Who Began Program," the institution reported "2" in its 2013 Annual

///

Report, but reported "0" in its 2013 SPFS and failed to provide an explanation for the inconsistency.

- ii. Doctor of Psychology in Psychoanalysis: For the reporting category labeled "Number of Students Who Began Program," the institution reported "22" in its 2013 Annual Report, but reported "2" in its 2013 SPFS. This inconsistency also resulted in inconsistent data for the category labeled "Student Available for Graduation," which is defined as the number of students who began the program minus the number of students unavailable for graduation. Respondent failed to provide an explanation for the inconsistency.
- iii. Certificate in Psychodynamics: For the reporting category labeled "Number of Students Who Began Program," the institution reported "4" in its 2013 Annual Report, but reported "8" in its 2013 SPFS. This inconsistency also resulted in inconsistent data for the category labeled "Student Available for Graduation," which is defined as the number of students who began the program minus the number of students unavailable for graduation. Respondent failed to provide an explanation for the inconsistency.
- iv. Certificate in Psychoanalysis: The institution did not submit the required reporting data for this educational program in its 2013 Annual Report. Therefore, the Bureau is unable to confirm the information that is contained in the 2013 SPFS.
  - b. Respondent failed to provide SPFS data for the branch campus.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

1. Denying the application of Newport Psychoanalytic Institute, Newport Center for Psychoanalytic Studies, Owner, Barry Ross, CEO, for a Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions; and,

<sup>1</sup> The "number of students unavailable for graduation" is the number of students who have died, been incarcerated, or called to active military duty.

1	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	DATED: 4/8/16 JOANNE WENZEL
4	Chief Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education
5	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6 7	Complainant
8	SD2015802047
9	81314085.doc
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	10