1	KAMALA D. HARRIS				
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General STERLING A. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 84287 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-0378 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Bureau of Private Postsecondary Education				
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	BEFORE THE				
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 999861				
12	Against:				
13	FEDERICO CAREER COLLEGES, INC. SAMUEL FEDERICO, PRESIDENT STATEMENT OF ISSUES				
14	Respondent.				
	1 Cosponacia.				
15	respondent				
16	Complainant alleges:				
16 17					
16 17 18	Complainant alleges:				
16 17 18 19	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u>				
16 17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official				
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs.				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about December 2, 2011, the Bureau received an Application for Approval to				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about December 2, 2011, the Bureau received an Application for Approval to Operate an Accredited Institution, Application No. 24205 from Federico Career Colleges, Inc.,				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about December 2, 2011, the Bureau received an Application for Approval to Operate an Accredited Institution, Application No. 24205 from Federico Career Colleges, Inc., Samuel Federico, President (collectively Respondent). On or about November 29, 2011, Samuel				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about December 2, 2011, the Bureau received an Application for Approval to Operate an Accredited Institution, Application No. 24205 from Federico Career Colleges, Inc., Samuel Federico, President (collectively Respondent). On or about November 29, 2011, Samuel Federico certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about December 2, 2011, the Bureau received an Application for Approval to Operate an Accredited Institution, Application No. 24205 from Federico Career Colleges, Inc., Samuel Federico, President (collectively Respondent). On or about November 29, 2011, Samuel Federico certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Bureau denied the application on May 12, 2014.				

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Education Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Code section 94875 states:

"The Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, as established by Section 6 of Chapter 635 of the Statutes of 2007, is continued in existence and shall commence operations. This chapter establishes the functions and responsibilities of the bureau, for the purposes of Section 6 of Chapter 635 of the Statutes of 2007. The bureau shall regulate private postsecondary educational institutions through the powers granted, and the duties imposed, by this chapter. In exercising its powers, and performing its duties, the protection of the public shall be the bureau's highest priority. If protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount."

5. Code section 94887 states

"An approval to operate shall be granted only after an applicant has presented sufficient evidence to the bureau, and the bureau has independently verified the information provided by the applicant through site visits or other methods deemed appropriate by the bureau, that the applicant has the capacity to satisfy the minimum operating standards. The bureau shall deny an application for approval to operate if the application does not satisfy those standards."

- 6. Code section 94890 states that:
- "(a)(1) The bureau shall grant an institution that is accredited an approval to operate by means of its accreditation.
- (2) The bureau shall, by January 1, 2011, adopt by regulation, the process and procedures whereby an institution that is accredited may apply for and obtain an approval by means of that accreditation.
- (b) The term of an approval to operate pursuant to section shall be coterminous with the term of accreditation. Upon renewal of the institution's accreditation, the institution shall submit

28

(b) An applicant shall submit to the Bureau the form required by subdivision (a) of this section a certified copy of its current verification of accreditation granted by its accrediting agency, and the appropriate application fee, as provided in Section 94390.5(a)(3) of the Code.

. . . ''

- 10. Section 74000, title 5, California Code of Regulations states, in pertinent part, that "(a) an institution shall pay the fees established by Article 17 of the Act. A failure to include a fee with an application or other request renders the application incomplete."
 - 11. Section 71405, title 5, California Code of Regulations states:
- "(a) If, after the submission of an application but prior to the Bureau's decision to approve or deny an approval to operate, there is any material change in circumstances affecting any information contained in the application or submitted by the institution in support of the application, the institution shall immediately inform the Bureau in writing.
- (b) For the purposes of this section, a change in circumstance is "material" if, without the inclusion of the new or different information into the application, the information contained in or the supporting documentation to the application would be false, misleading, or incomplete."
- 12. Business & Professions Code Section 118, subdivision (b) provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 13. On or about December 2, 2011, the Bureau received Respondent's "Application for Approval to Operate an Institution Accredited", Number 24205 (Application).
- 14. By letter dated December 29, 2011, the Bureau notified Respondent that the Bureau was not able to grant the Application because it was incomplete. The Application did not provide the Bureau with required information, and the letter from the National Accrediting Commission

of Career Arts & Sciences dated September 15, 2010, submitted as part of the Application was not current.

- 15. By letter dated December 29, 2011, the Bureau notified Respondent that Respondent had not paid the Bureau money due for Student Tuition Recovery Fees for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Quarters of 2010, or 2011 Annual Fees, and that the Bureau could not grant the Application until the fees were paid by Respondent.
- 16. In January 2012, Respondent submitted additional information to the Bureau, including a letter from the National Accrediting Commission of Career Arts & Sciences dated January 20, 2012.
- 17. By letter of February 1, 2012, the Bureau notified Respondent for the second time that Respondent had not paid the Bureau the money due for Student Tuition Recovery Fees for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Quarters of 2010, or 2011 Annual Fees, and that the Bureau could not grant the Application until they were paid by Respondent.
- 18. By letter of May 14, 2012, the Bureau notified Respondent for the third time that Respondent had not paid the Bureau the money due for Student Tuition Recovery Fees for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Quarters of 2010, or 2011 Annual Fees, and that the Bureau could not grant the Application until they were paid by Respondent.
- 19. On or about April 29, 2014, the Bureau researched Respondent's accreditation status on the website of the National Accrediting Commission of Career Arts & Sciences. The website showed that Respondent had lost its accreditation by National Accrediting Commission of Career Arts & Sciences.
- 20. At the time that the Bureau denied the Application, Respondent's institution was not accredited, All required information was not provided by Respondent to the Bureau and Respondent had not paid any of the money due for Student Tuition Recovery Fees for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Quarters of 2010, or 2011 Annual Fees.

26 | ///

27 | ///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Incomplete Application)

21. Based upon Paragraphs 13-21 above, the Application is subject to denial because at all relevant times it was incomplete. Respondent violated Section 74000(a), title 5, Code of California Regulations by not providing all required information or paying the Bureau the Student Tuition Recovery fees for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Quarters of 2010, or 2011 Annual Fees.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Loss of Accreditation)

22. The Application is subject to denial because Respondent violated Code section 94890 and Section 71405, title 5, California Code of Regulations. While the incomplete Application was under submission with the Bureau, Respondent suffered a material change in circumstances affecting information contained in the Application and/or information submitted by Respondent in support of the Application. The material change of circumstances is that Respondent lost its accreditation by National Accrediting Commission of Career Arts & Sciences. Respondent did not report its loss of accreditation to the Bureau in violation of Section 71405, title 5, California Code of Regulations.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the Application for Approval to Operate an Accredited Institution submitted by Samuel Federico and Federico Career Colleges, Inc.; and
 - 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

		18	. (1
DATED:	10/17	14		Z
	-		LOANING WENGEL	A In

JOANNE WENZEL, Chief, Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California