1	Kamala D. Harris						
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO						
2	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LANGSTON M. EDWARDS						
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237926						
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702						
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-6343 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804						
7	Attorneys for Complainant						
, 8		RE THE 'ONSUMER AFFAIRS					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 998760					
12	Against:						
13	L.A. BARBER COLLEGE, JOSE F. POLANCO, OWNER; BLANCA E.	STATEMENT OF ISSUES					
14	POLANCO, OWNER; Institution Code: 69608151						
15	Renewal Application for Approval to						
16	Operate an Accredited Institution No. 24864						
17	Respondent.						
18							
19							
20	Complainant alleges:						
21	PAR	TIES					
22	1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) bring	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official					
23	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private P	ostsecondary Education, Department of					
24	Consumer Affairs (Bureau).						
25	2. On or about September 17, 2004, the	Bureau for Private Postsecondary and					
26	Vocational Education ¹ issued Blanca E. and Jos	e F. Polanco, doing business as L.A. Barber					
27 28	¹ The former Bureau for Private Postsecondary ar October 11, 2009, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary E	nd Vocational Education sunsetted on July 1, 2007. On ducation Act of 2009 (AB 48) was signed into law. The (continued)					
		1					
		Accusation					

1	College (Respondents), an approval to operate. The approval to operate expired on August 30,				
2	2011.				
3	3. On or about August 3, 2011, the Bureau issued the Respondents an approval to				
4	operate by means of accreditation. The approval to operate expired on May 31, 2012.				
5	4. On or about May 29, 2012, the Bureau received an Application for Renewal of				
6	Approval to Operate for an Accredited Institution (#24864) from the Respondents.				
7	5. On December 28, 2012, the Bureau was notified by the National Accrediting				
8	Commission of Career Arts & Sciences (NACCAS) that the Respondent's accreditation had been				
9	terminated, effective December 12, 2012.				
10	6. On or about December 31, 2012, the Bureau terminated Respondents approval to				
11	operate by means of accreditation.				
12	7. The Bureau denied the Respondents Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate				
13	for an Accredited Institution (#24864) on January 10, 2013.				
14					
15	JURISDICTION				
16	8. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of the Department of				
17	Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau under the authority of the following laws. All section				
1.8	references are to the Education Code unless otherwise indicated.				
19	9. Section 94875 provides that the Bureau shall regulate private postsecondary				
20	educational institutions through the powers granted, and duties imposed, by the California Private				
21	Postsecondary Education Act of 2009 (Ed. Code§§ 94800 et seq.)				
22	10. Section 477 of the Business and Professions Code states:				
23	As used in this division:				
24	"(a) 'Board' includes 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,'				
25 [°]	'examining committee,' 'program,' and 'agency.'				
26	(b) 'License' includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business				
27	(continued)				
28	Act, which became operative on January 1, 2010, established the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education.				
	2				
	Accusation				

or profession regulated by this code."

-1-

2					
3	STATUTORY PROVISIONS				
4	11. Section 94886 provides, in pertinent part, that except as exempted in Article 4				
5	(commencing with section 94874), a person shall not open, conduct, or do business as a private				
6	postsecondary educational institution without obtaining an approval to operate from the Bureau.				
7	12. Section 94890 subdivision (b) provides, in pertinent part, that the term of an approval				
8	to operate pursuant to this section shall be coterminous with the term of accreditation. Upon				
9	renewal of the institution's accreditation, the institution shall submit verification to the bureau, on				
10	a form provided by the bureau, that the institution's accreditation has been renewed.				
11					
12	REGULATORY PROVISIONS				
13	13. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, section 71400.5 subdivision (b) states, in				
14	pertinent part, the Bureau may deny any application based on any act that constitutes grounds for				
15	the denial of a license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, incorporated				
16	herein by reference.				
17	14. California Code of Regulations, Title 5, section 71475 states in pertinent part:				
18	"(a) Unless renewed, an approval to operate shall expire at 12 midnight on the last day of				
19	the institution's term of approval to operate as granted pursuant to section 94802 or section 94889				
20	of the Code."				
21	····				
22	(jj) An approval to operate that has expired may be renewed at any time within 6 months				
23	after its expiration on filing of an application for renewal and, as a condition precedent to				
24	renewal, payment of all accrued and unpaid renewal fees, late payment penalty fees prescribed in				
25	subdivision (e) of this section, and any other fees that would have been due in order to renew				
26	timely. After an approval to operate has expired for more than 6 months, the approval is				
27	automatically cancelled and the institution must submit a complete application pursuant to section				
28					
	3				

1	-71100, meet all-current requirements, and pay-all-fees that would have been due in order to timely		
2	renew, in order to apply for approval."		
3			
. 4	FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION		
5	(Loss of Accreditation)		
6	15. Respondents' application is subject to denial under Education Code section 94890,		
7	subdivision (b) in conjunction California Code of Regulations, title 5, division 7.5, section		
8	71400.5 subdivision (b), in that Respondents failed to renew their accreditation with NACCAS.		
9	The grounds are as follows:		
10	a. On or around June 20, 2012, NACCAS took an adverse action against Respondents		
11	seeking to withdraw Respondents accreditation, which Respondents appealed.		
12	b. On or around December 12, 2012, NACCAS revoked Respondents accreditation in a		
13	decision based on "Failure to comply with the Financial Practices and Management Standard."		
14	c. On or around December 28, 2012, NACCAS notified the Bureau that Respondents		
15	accreditation was terminated.		
16	d. On or around December 31, 2012, the Bureau terminated Respondents approval to		
17	operate by means of accreditation.		
18	//		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	//		
26			
27			
28			
	4		
	Accusation		

-1-			PRAYE	<u>R</u>				
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein al							
3	and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a							
4	decision:							
5	1. Denying the Respondents Blanca E. Polanco and Jose F. Polanco dba L.A. Barber							
6	College Renewal Application for Approval to Operate for an Accredited Institution (#24864)							
7	2.	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.						
8		010010			\mathbf{b}			
9	DATED:	4130113		E WENZEL	/			
10				or Private Postseco				
11			State of (ent of Consumer A California	ffairs			
12			Complai	nant				
13	LA201350914							
14	51363299.dox	ex						
15								
16					'n			
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								