| 1 | Attorney General of California | |
|------|--|---------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | GILLIAN E. FRIEDMAN | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 169207 | |
| 5 | 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 | |
| 6 | Telephone: (213) 897-2564 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | 1 |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: | Case No. 1000839 |
| 13 | INSTITUTE OF PSYCHO-STRUCTURAL | |
| 14 | BALANCING; LISA SCHWAB, Owner Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited | STATEMENT OF ISSUES |
| 15 | School Code: 1909331 | |
| 16 | Respondent. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Complainant alleges: | |
| 19 | PARTIES | |
| 20 | 1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official | |
| 21 | capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, Department of | |
| 22 | Consumer Affairs. | |
| 23 | 2. On or about September 28, 2011, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education | |
| 24 | received an Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited from | |
| 25 | Institute of Psycho-Structural Balancing, a corporation owned individually by Lisa Schwab | |
| 26 | (Respondent). On or about September 26, 2011, Lisa Schwab certified under penalty of perjury | |
| 27 | to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. Multiple | |
| 28 | deficiency letters were sent to Respondent. The Bureau denied the application on June 26, 2015. | |
| - 11 | | |

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 3. Education Code section 94891¹ states:
- "(a) The bureau shall adopt by regulation the process and procedures whereby an institution may obtain a renewal of an approval to operate.
- (b) To be granted a renewal of an approval to operate, the institution shall demonstrate its continued capacity to meet the minimum operating standards.
- (c) (1) An institution that is denied renewal of an approval to operate may file an appeal in accordance with the procedures established by the bureau pursuant to Section 94888.
- (2) An institution that has filed an appeal of a denial of a renewal application may continue to operate during the appeal process, but must disclose in a written statement, approved by the bureau, to all current and prospective students, that the institution's application for renewal of approval to operate was denied by the bureau because the bureau determined the application did not satisfy the requirements to operate in California, that the institution is appealing the bureau's decision, and that the loss of the appeal may result in the institution's closure.
- (3) If the bureau determines that the continued operation of the institution during the appeal process poses a significant risk of harm to students, the bureau shall make an emergency decision pursuant to its authority provided in Section 94938."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Business and Professions Code section 477 as used in this division provides in pertinent part:
 - (a) "Board" includes "bureau"
- (b) "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code.
 - 5. Education Code section 94885 states:

"The bureau shall adopt by regulation minimum operating standards for an institution that shall reasonably ensure that all of the following occur:

(a) The content of each educational program can achieve its stated

¹ California Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009, Ed. Code §§ 94800 et seq.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

- (6) Maintain a ratio of current assets to current liabilities of 1.25 to 1.00 or greater at the end of the most recent fiscal year when using generally accepted accounting principles, or for an institution participating in Title IV of the federal Higher Education Act of 1965, meet the composite score requirements of the U.S. Department of Education. For the purposes of this section, current assets does not include: intangible assets, including goodwill, going concern value, organization expense, startup costs, long-term prepayment of deferred charges, and non-returnable deposits, or state or federal grant or loan funds that are not the property of the institution but are held for future disbursement for the benefit of students. Unearned tuition shall be accounted for in accordance with general accepted accounting principles.
- (b) At an institution's request, the Bureau may consider the financial resources of a parent company if he parent company, as defined by section 94853 of the Code, meets and maintains all of the following provisions:
 - (1) consents in writing to be sued in California;
- (2) consents in writing to be subject to the jurisdiction of the Bureau with respect to the institution's regulation under the Act and this Chapter;
- (3) designates and maintains an agent for service of process, consistent with section 74190;
- (4) agrees in writing to pay any refund, claim, penalty, or judgment that the institution is obligated to pay; and
- (5) files financial reports, maintains financial records, and consents in writing to permit the inspection and copying of financial records to the same extent as is required of the institution.
- (c) An institution shall provide to the Bureau its most current financial statements upon request.
 - 15. California Code of Regulations, title 5, division 7.5, section 71485 states:

Failure of an institution to have made current payments of the assessments to the Student Tuition Recovery Fund as required by chapter 7 of this division, and annual fees as required by chapter 5 of this Division shall render the institution ineligible for renewal.

- a. On or about August 27, 2012, Respondent provided a profit and loss statement for December 2009 through November 2010 and a balance sheet for November 2010. The statements did not include the required documents, which include but are not limited to: a cash flow statement, income statement and a preparation statement from a licensed Certified Public Accountant (CPA). Additionally, the financial statements do not demonstrate a ratio of current assets to current liabilities of 1.25 to 1.0 or greater.
- b. On or about May 29, 2015, Respondent provided a profit and loss statement for December 2013 through November 2014, a balance sheet for November 20, 2014, and a preparation statement from a person identified as "Diane McCalley," purporting to be a CPA. However, there was no confirmation of her licensure status.
- c. On or about June 2, 2015, Respondent again provided a balance sheet as of November 2014, statement of cash flows from December 2012 through November 2013, and a profit and loss statement from December 2013 through November 2014. Again, there was no proper certification statement, and the financial documents did not meet the requirements for licensure.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Annual Reports)

- 22. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code sections 94887 and 94934 and California Code of Regulations, title 5, division 7.5, section 71100, subdivisions (a) (c), 74110, and in that about September 28, 2011 and continuing thereafter, Respondent submitted an incomplete application to the Bureau. Each violation is a sole and separate cause for denial. The violations are as follows:
 - a. Respondent failed to submit annual reports from 2011, 2012, and 2013.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Annual Fee and Late Penalty Fee)

23. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Education Code sections 94887, 94930.5, subdivision (d)(1)(A), and sections 94931 and 94934 and California Code of Regulations, title 5, division 7.5, section 71100, subdivisions (a) – (c), and sections 71485,74000 subdivisions (c)-(e), 74006, and 74110 in that about September 28, 2011 and continuing

| 1 | thereafter, Respondent submitted an incomplete application to the Bureau. Each violation is a | |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | sole and separate cause for denial. The violations are as follows: | |
| 3 | a. Respondent failed to submit the annual fee plus 35% penalty fee for 2012, 2013 and | |
| 4 | 2015; and the 2014 plus a 25% penalty fee. | |
| 5 | <u>PRAYER</u> | |
| 6 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | |
| 7 | and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a | |
| 8 | decision: | |
| . 9 | 1. Denying the application of Institute of Psycho-Structural Balancing: Lisa Schwab, | |
| 10 | Owner, for Renewal of Approval to Operate; | |
| 11 | 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | DATED: 2/19/16 | |
| 14 | JOANNE WENZELS Chief | |
| 15 | Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Department of Consumer Affairs | |
| 16 | State of California Complainant | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | LA2015502154 51951454.doc | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |