1	KAMALA D. HARRIS
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ZACHARY T. FANSELOW
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 274129
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2562 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11 12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:  Case No. 1001497
13	THE UNIVERSITY OF NATURAL MEDICINE STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Approval to Operate an Institution Non- Accredited Applicant
15   16	Respondent.
17	
18	
19	Complainant alleges:
20	<u>PARTIES</u>
21	1. Joanne Wenzel ("Complainant") brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official
22	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, Department of
23	Consumer Affairs.
24	2. On or about December 5, 2011, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education
25	received an Application for Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited from The
26	University of Natural Medicine ("Respondent"). On or about December 1, 2011, Respondent
27	certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and
28	representations in the application. The Bureau denied the application on February 9, 2016.

1

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs ("Director") for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, under the authority of the following laws.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Business and Professions Code section 22 states: "Board," as used in any provision of this code, refers to the board in which the administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency."
  - 5. Business and Professions Code section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:

"(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question,

Section 94885 of the Education Code<sup>1</sup> states: 6.

would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license."

- "(a) The bureau shall adopt by regulation minimum operating standards for an institution that shall reasonably ensure that all of the following occur:
  - "(1) The content of each educational program can achieve its stated objective.
- "(2) The institution maintains specific written standards for student admissions for each educational program and those standards are related to the particular educational program.
- "(3) The facilities, instructional equipment, and materials are sufficient to enable students to achieve the educational program's goals.
  - "(4) The institution maintains a withdrawal policy and provides refunds.
  - "(5) The directors, administrators, and faculty are properly qualified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009, Ed. Code §§ 94800, et seq.

the institution has been accredited by an accrediting agency."

"(e) Advertise, or indicate in promotional material, that the institution is accredited, unless

26

27

"(d) A projection, and the bases for the projection, of the number of students that the
institution plans to enroll in the educational program during each of the three years following the
date the Form Application 94886 was submitted.

- "(e) A description of the learning, skills, and other competencies to be acquired by students who complete the educational program.
- "(f) If licensure is a goal of an educational program, a copy of the approval from the appropriate licensing agency if required. A copy of the intent to approve conditioned solely upon institutional approval from the Bureau will also meet this requirement.

"Upon request, the institution shall provide to the Bureau copies of the curriculum or syllabi required pursuant to section 71710."

14. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710 states:

"In order to meet its mission and objectives, the educational program defined in section 94837 of the Code shall be comprised of a curriculum that includes:

- "(a) those subject areas that are necessary for a student to achieve the educational objectives of the educational program in which the student is enrolled;
- "(b) subject areas and courses or modules that are presented in a logically organized manner or sequence to students;
- "(c) course or module materials that are designed or organized by duly qualified faculty.

  For each course or module, each student shall be provided with a syllabus or course outline that contains:
  - "(1) a short, descriptive title of the educational program;
  - "(2) a statement of educational objectives;
  - "(3) length of the educational program;
  - "(4) sequence and frequency of lessons or class sessions;
  - "(5) complete citations of textbooks and other required written materials;
- "(6) sequential and detailed outline of subject matter to be addressed or a list of skills to be learned and how those skills are to be measured:
  - "(7) instructional mode or methods.

2.6

- "(d) if degree granting, require research of an appropriate degree that utilizes a library and other learning resources;
- "(e) specific learning outcomes tied to the sequence of the presentation of the material to measure the students' learning of the material; and
  - "(f) evaluation by duly qualified faculty of those learning outcomes."
  - 15. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715 states, in pertinent part:
- "(d) Distance education as defined in section 94834 of the Code, does not require the physical presence of students and faculty at the same location but provides for interaction between students and faculty by such means as telecommunication, correspondence, electronic and computer augmented educational services, postal service, and facsimile transmission. In addition to the other requirements of this chapter and the Act, an institution offering distance education shall:
- "(1) ensure that the educational program offered through distance education is appropriate for delivery through distance education methods;
  - "(5) maintain clear standards for satisfactory academic progress;
- "(6) timely complete student evaluations of learning outcomes by duly qualified faculty, which are appropriate for use with the distance education methods used, and evaluated by duly qualified faculty."
  - 16. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720 states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) An Educational Program Leading to a Degree.
- "(1) An institution offering an educational program that leads to a degree shall employ duly qualified faculty sufficient in number to provide the instruction, student advisement, and learning outcomes evaluation necessary for the institution to document its achievement of its stated mission and objectives, and for students to achieve the specific learning objectives of each course offered;
  - "(6) When contracting for educational services, the institution shall maintain control of, and

responsibility for, all academic matters, and shall assure that the instruction and faculty satisfy the standards established by the Act and this chapter;

....

- "(9) The institution shall maintain records documenting that each faculty member is duly qualified and was qualified to perform the duties to which the faculty member was assigned, including providing instruction, evaluating learning outcomes, evaluating graduate dissertations, theses, and student projects, and participating on doctoral committees."
  - 17. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71810 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Each institution shall provide a catalog pursuant to section 94909 of the Code, which shall be updated annually. Annual updates may be made by the use of supplements or inserts accompanying the catalog. If changes in educational programs, educational services, procedures, or policies required to be included in the catalog by statute or regulation are implemented before the issuance of the annually updated catalog, those changes shall be reflected at the time they are made in supplements or inserts accompanying the catalog."

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

### (Organization and Management)

18. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A), and California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720, in that Respondent submitted an organizational chart that did not meet minimum operating standards. Specifically, Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(9), because Respondent identified instructors and their credentials in a document received by the Bureau on November 16, 2015, but Respondent then submitted an organizational chart, received by the Bureau on January 4, 2016, which identified a substantially different list of instructors. Consequently, the Bureau is unable to determine whether the faculty members Dr. D, Dr. I, Dr. K, Dr. P, and Dr. S are duly qualified to instruct the courses to which they may be assigned and whether the listed individuals are current employees of the university.

### 

## 

## 

# 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

### 

## 

## 

## 

# 

#### 

### 

## 

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Advertising)

- 19. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A), Education Code sections 94886, 94897, and 94913, as well as California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71110, in that Respondent's website both lacks documents and information it is required to have and includes prohibited statements or information. Each violation is a sole and separate cause for denial. The violations are as follows:
- a. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with Education Code section 94913, subdivision (a)(2), in that, as of January 20, 2016, Respondent's website still displayed the 2010/2011 school performance fact sheet. Respondent has not submitted a new school performance fact sheet within the last four years.
- b. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with Education Code section 94913, subdivision (a)(5), in that, as of January 20, 2016, Respondent's website does not provide the most recent annual report. Respondent has not submitted an annual report to the Bureau within the last four years.
- c. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with Education Code section 94897, subdivision (e), in that, as of January 20, 2016, Respondent's website still displayed the following information:

#### Accreditation

The University of Natural medicine is accredited by the American Naturopathic Medical Accreditation Board and the American Naturopathic Medical Board. These two bodies examine and qualify educational programs that represent traditional medicines and holistic treatment programs.

(emphasis added)

Displaying this information is a prohibited business practice because an institution shall not advertise or indicate in promotional material that it is accredited unless it has been accredited by an accrediting agency recognized by the United States Department of Education pursuant to Education Code section 94814. Neither the American Naturopathic Medical Accreditation Board nor the American Naturopathic Medical Board are accrediting agencies as recognized by the United States Department of Education.

d. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with Education Code section 94886 and California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71110, subdivision (a), in that Respondent has advertised in promotional material, including the website www.unmca.org (active as of January 21, 2016), that it offers programs to the public under the name "University of Natural Medicine California." Institutions must only use and advertise under their officially licensed name. Institutions also may not advertise using two different websites (universitynatrualmedicine.org and unmca.org) containing contradicting information to the public.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

### (Description of Educational Programs)

- 20. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A), and California Code of Regulations, title 5, sections 71220, 71710, 71715, and 71720, in that Respondent failed to provide sufficient descriptions of its educational programs. Each violation is a sole and separate cause for denial. The violations are as follows:
- a. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, in that Respondent's educational program, including some of the syllabi submitted to the Bureau, does not reference any curriculum. Assigned textbook readings and a final examination do not constitute comprehensive curriculum as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710.
- b. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71220, in that Respondent failed to provide requested materials to the Bureau. As some of Respondent's syllabi did not appear to reference any curriculum, the Bureau requested the curriculum for each course being offered, but Respondent failed to provide the requested materials.
- c. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to provide syllabi for the following courses: Homeopathy II, Animal Natural Health II, Aromatherapy Studies, Botanical Medicine Level 100, and the Herbal Studies Course. Respondent therefore failed to provide course

materials designed or organized by duly qualified faculty as required by California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (c).

- d. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent claimed that it could not provide the missing syllabi for Homeopathy II, Animal Natural Health II, Aromatherapy Studies, Botanical Medicine Level 100, and the Herbal Studies Course, because the courses were "outsourced." However, Respondent is required to maintain control of, and is responsible for, all academic matters when contracting for educational services.
- e. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (e), in that Respondent failed to provide an explanation when requested regarding how specific learning outcomes are tied to the sequence of the presentation of the material to measure the students' learning of the material in all courses.
- f. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(1), in that Respondent failed to provide an explanation, upon request from the Bureau, of how the Blood & Urine Analysis course is appropriate for delivery through distance education methods, as required by law.
- g. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(5), in that Respondent failed to demonstrate that it is maintaining clear standards for satisfactory academic progress, as none of Respondent's courses included formative assessments or other objective feedback while students are still able to make corrections and improvements. Instead, the final examination is the only instrument of evaluation as provided for by Respondent.
- h. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivision (d)(6), in that Respondent failed to demonstrate that learning objectives and outcomes are being evaluated by qualified faculty in a timely manner, as the final examination is the only instrument of evaluation as provided for by Respondent.
- i. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivision (c)(6), in that not all of the syllabi provided to the Bureau included

a sequential and detailed outline of the subject matter to be addressed or a list of skills to be learned and how those skills would be measured. When the Bureau requested updated syllabi, Respondent failed to provide the requested materials.

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Faculty)

- 21. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A), and California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720, in that Respondent failed to provide sufficient documents, contracts, and responses regarding Respondent's faculty. Each violation is a sole and separate cause for denial. The violations are as follows:
- a. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720, subdivision (a)(1), in that many of the contracts indicating instructor assignments submitted to the Bureau were unsigned. When signed contracts from current faculty regarding instructor assignments were requested by the Bureau, none were provided.
- b. Respondent is unable to prove compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(9). Respondent identified instructors and their credentials in a document received by the Bureau on November 16, 2015. However, Respondent then submitted an organizational chart, received by the Bureau on January 4, 2016, which identified a substantially different list of instructors. Respondent did not explain the changes in faculty, previously submitted credentials were in some cases incomplete and as a result the Bureau cannot determine which list of instructors is correct and whether or not currently employed instructors are qualified to teach the courses they have been assigned to.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (School Catalog)

22. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A), Education Code section 94909, as well as California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71810, in that Respondent submitted a deficient school catalog to the Bureau. Each violation is a sole and separate cause for denial. The violations are as follows:

11

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of The University of Natural Medicine for an Approval to Operate an Institution Non-Accredited; and,
  - 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 19/16

JOANNE WENZEL

Chief

Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

LA2016600871 52142369.doc