.		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California THOMAS RINALDI	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GEOFF WARD	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 246437	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2660 Email: geoffrey.ward@doj.ca.gov	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		7
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 1000099
12	PACIFIC BAPTIST COLLEGE; owner Western Baptist Bible Fellowship, Inc.	STEATHER MENTE OF LOCKIES
13	• *	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Application for Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions	
15 16	Application Number 24591 School Code 1937651	
17	Respondent.	
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Complainant Joanne Wenzel brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
21	capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, Department of	
22	Consumer Affairs ¹ .	
23	2. On April 1, 2003, the Bureau issued Respondent Pacific Baptist College an Approval	
24	to Operate. It assigned Respondent School Code 1937651.	
25	3. Respondent's Approval to Operate expired on March 25, 2011.	
26	The former Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education and Vocational Education	
27 28	sunsetted on July 2, 2007. On October 11, 2009, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009 (AB 48) was signed into law. The Act, which became operative on January 1, 2010, established the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education.	

Bureau Regulation Governing Institutions' Faculty

- 17. In pertinent part, California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71720 requires an institution to have qualified faculty:
 - (a) An Educational Program Leading to a Degree.
 - (1) An institution offering an educational program that leads to a degree shall employ duly qualified faculty sufficient in number to provide the instruction, student advisement, and learning outcomes evaluation necessary for the institution to document its achievement of its stated mission and objectives, and for students to achieve the specific learning objectives of each course offered;
 - (2) Each institution shall develop and implement written policies and procedures providing for the participation by duly qualified faculty in the conducting of research, development of curricula, academic planning, enforcement of standards of academic quality, pursuit of academic matters related to the institution's mission and objectives, establishment of criteria for contracting with new faculty, and evaluation of faculty credentials;
 - (3) In determining the number of faculty sufficient to satisfy subdivision (a)(1) of this section and to implement the policies established pursuant to subdivision (a)(2) of this section, the institution shall base its faculty requirements on all of the following factors:
 - (A) The educational level and number of students;
 - (B) The number of hours needed for direct interaction between students and faculty per course, quarter, semester, or other term;
 - (C) The number of hours needed to be spent on evaluating written materials prepared by students, such as distance education, papers, and examinations, per course, quarter, semester, or other term;
 - (D) The number of group meetings per course, quarter, semester, or other term;
 - (E) The faculty duties established by the institution as required under subdivision (a)(2) of this section; and
 - (F) The number of hours per week or units per term considered full-time for faculty in the institution.
 - (4) The faculty shall have sufficient expertise to support the institution's awarding of a degree identifying a specialty or major field of emphasis, demonstrated by, at a minimum:
 - (A) That the person possesses one of the following:
 - 1. a degree from: an institution approved by the Bureau or previously approved by a predecessor agency of the Bureau; or an accredited institution in the United States or Canada; or other state approved institution that documents that the institution at which the faculty member earned his or her degree is equivalent to an institution that is approved by the Bureau; or an institution outside the United States or Canada and in addition provides a comprehensive evaluation of the degree performed by a foreign credential evaluation service that is a member of the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES).
 - 2. a credential generally recognized in the field of instruction.
 - (B) The degree, professional license, or credential possessed by the person shall be at least equivalent to the level of instruction being taught or evaluated;

'NOTICE CONCERNING TRANSFERABILITY OF CREDITS AND CREDENTIALS EARNED AT OUR INSTITUTION

The transferability of credits you earn at (name of institution) is at the complete discretion of an institution to which you may seek to transfer. Acceptance of the (degree, diploma, or certificate) you earn in (name of educational program) is also at the complete discretion of the institution to which you may seek to transfer. If the (credits or degree, diploma, or certificate) that you earn at this institution are not accepted at the institution to which you seek to transfer, you may be required to repeat some or all of your coursework at that institution. For this reason you should make certain that your attendance at this institution will meet your educational goals. This may include contacting an institution to which you may seek to transfer after attending (name of institution) to determine if your (credits or degree, diploma or certificate) will transfer.'

- (16) A statement specifying whether the institution, or any of its degree programs, are accredited by an accrediting agency recognized by the United States Department of Education. If the institution is unaccredited and offers an associate, baccalaureate, master's, or doctoral degree, or is accredited and offers an unaccredited program for an associate, baccalaureate, master's, or doctoral degree, the statement shall disclose the known limitations of the degree program, including, but not limited to, all of the following:
- (A) Whether a graduate of the degree program will be eligible to sit for the applicable licensure exam in California and other states.
- (B) A degree program that is unaccredited or a degree from an unaccredited institution is not recognized for some employment positions, including, but not limited to, positions with the State of California.
- (C) That a student enrolled in an unaccredited institution is not eligible for federal financial aid programs."
- 22. In pertinent part, California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71810 sets additional requirements for catalogs:
 - "(a) Each institution shall provide a catalog pursuant to section 94909 of the Code, which shall be updated annually. Annual updates may be made by the use of supplements or inserts accompanying the catalog. If changes in educational programs, educational services, procedures, or policies required to be included in the catalog by statute or regulation are implemented before the issuance of the annually updated catalog, those changes shall be reflected at the time they are made in supplements or inserts accompanying the catalog.
 - (b) The catalog shall contain the information prescribed by section 94909 of the Code and all of the following:
 - (4) Language proficiency information, including: (A) the level of English language proficiency required of students and the kind of documentation of proficiency, such as the Test of English as a Foreign Language (TOEFL), that will be accepted; and (B) whether English language services, including instruction such as ESL, are provided and, if so, the nature of the service and its cost;
 - (10) A description of library and other learning resources and the procedures for student access to those resources;

- 26. Respondent's application stated that it offered 8 educational programs leading to a bachelor's degree, 1 program leading to an associate's degree, and 1 certificate program. It also described the coursework required to obtain those degrees or certificates. It listed roughly 190 courses offered.
- 27. 9 of the 16 listed full-time or adjunct faculty members lacked a degree from an accredited or state-approved institution in the U.S. or Canada and had no evidence of a credential generally recognized in the field of instruction, in violation of California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71720 subdivision (a)(4)(A).
- 28. Taken as a whole, the faculty did not have duly qualified faculty sufficient to provide instruction, student advisement, and learning outcomes for the courses or degrees offered, in violation of California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71720 subdivision (a)(1).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Web Site Omissions)

29. Respondent's web site as of September 2014, the time in which its renewal application was before the Bureau, omitted information required by section 94913 subdivision (a), including Respondent's School Performance Fact Sheets for each program offered, student brochures, and its most recent annual report submitted to the Bureau. This subjects its application for renewal to operate to denial under section 94885 for failing to operate the institution in compliance with the Private Postsecondary Education Act.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Admissions Requirements)

30. Respondent's application for renewal of approval allows for the admission of potentially underqualified applicants in violation of California Code of Regulations Title 5 section 71770. This subjects its application to denial under section 94887 for failing to present sufficient evidence to the Bureau that Respondent had the capacity to satisfy the minimum operating standards and under section 94891 for failing to demonstrate its continued capacity to meet the minimum operating standards.

- 31. California Code of Regulations Title 5 section 71770 requires that an institution "shall not admit any student who is obviously unqualified or who does not appear to have a reasonable prospect of completing the program", which includes specifying that students admitted to an undergraduate degree program possess a high school diploma or its equivalent or take and pass the relevant examination required by Education Code section 94904.
- 32. Respondent's admissions requirements, as stated in its school catalog, are that "Applicants for admission to PBC must have a satisfactory academic background which includes a high school GPA of 2.00 or General Educational Development (GED) certificate. This requirement applies to the Certificate for Bible Proficiency, Associate's degree and all Bachelor's degrees. An applicant who fails to meet this requirement may be admitted as a provisional student with a status of *Academic Probation* and be required to meet weekly with the Academic Dean to help develop an ability to benefit from instruction at PBC." This admissions requirement may permit Respondent to admit applicants as provisional students if they do not have a "satisfactory academic background", or if they do not have a high school GPA of 2.0 or more, or if they do not have a GED certificate, in violation of section 71770.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Incomplete Application - Failure to Have Board Members Sign Under Penalty of Perjury)

- 33. Respondent's application for renewal of approval to operate is subject to denial under California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71475 subdivision (kk) for submitting an incomplete renewal application because it failed to include the signatures of its non-profit board members made under penalty of perjury.
- 34. California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71475 subdivision (gg)(1)(C) requires each member of a nonprofit corporation's governing body to sign the renewal application with a declaration under penalty of perjury that the application and its attachments are true and correct.
- 35. Respondent's August 25, 2014 application listed its owner as Western Baptist Bible Fellowship, Inc. a nonprofit corporation. Its application included its board members' signatures, but did not include with them any declaration made under penalty of perjury, in violation of section 71475.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Incomplete Application - Failing to Provide Sample Degrees)

36. Respondent's application for renewal of approval to operate is subject to denial under California Code of Regulations title 5, section 71475 subdivision (kk) for submitting an incomplete renewal application because it failed to provide sample diplomas for 8 of its 9 bachelor degree programs and for its associate degree program in violation of California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71475 subdivision (cc), which requires that an institution submit a copy of the document "awarded to a graduating student upon successful completion of each educational program."

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Incomplete Application - Failing to Describe Ownership Interest in Significant Equipment)

- 37. Respondent's application for renewal of approval to operate is subject to denial under California Code of Regulations title 5, section 71475 subdivision (kk) for submitting an incomplete renewal application because it failed to include a description of whether significant equipment is owned, leased, rented or licensed, as described below.
- 38. California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71475 subdivision (y)(1) requires an applicant to describe equipment available for students to use at its location and requires that for significant equipment, the application describe whether the equipment is owned, leased, licensed, or loaned.
- 39. California Code of Regulations title 5 section 70000 subdivision (aa) defines "[s]ignificant equipment" as "equipment that is necessary for achieving the stated educational objectives."
- 40. Respondent's application listed items available for student use, including computer programs, cameras, laptops, projectors, musical instruments, and elementary science materials, including microscopes, kits, balances, and jars, but did not describe whether it owned, leased, licensed, or had someone loan it this equipment.
- 41. This listed equipment was necessary for achieving Respondent's stated educational objectives for some of its degree programs. As a result, Respondent's application should have

described whether the equipment was owned, leased, licensed, or loaned.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Failure to Comply with School Catalog Requirements)

- 42. Respondent's application for renewal of approval failed to submit school catalogs that met all of the statutory and regulatory requirements, subjecting its application to denial under section 94885 for failing to operate the institution in compliance with the California Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009, under section 94887 for failing to present sufficient evidence to the Bureau that Respondent had the capacity to satisfy the minimum operating standards, under section 94891 for failing to demonstrate its continued capacity to meet the minimum operating standards, and under California Code of Regulations title 5, section 71475 subdivision (kk) for submitting an incomplete renewal application.
 - 43. Respondent's catalog had the following mistakes or omissions:
- 44. It stated that one physical education course credit may be granted for each year of military service, stated that such a credit could be applied for by presenting discharge papers or the equivalent to the Vice President for Academics, but did not state any provisions for appeal associated with this policy and did not state any charges that a student may be required to pay, in violation of California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71810 subdivision (b)(7).
- 45. It stated that classes will be taught in English, and that Applicants will need to exhibit basic English skills and will be required to pass an admission exam prior to admittance if communication skills are lacking in initial interview. However, Respondent's catalog did not specify the kind of documentation of proficiency, such as the Test of English as a Foreign Language, that it would accept and did not state whether it would provide English language services, including instruction such as ESL, in violation of California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71810 subdivision (b)(4).
- 46. Section 94909 subdivision (a)(8) requires a detailed description of institutional policies, including leave of absence policies. Respondent's catalog states a leave of absence policy, but it is not described in detail, in violation of this subdivision. It states only: "Written

requests for leaves of absence will be considered and such leaves may be granted to students at the discretion of the college."

- 47. It failed to contain the schedule of total charges for a period of attendance and an estimated schedule of total charges for the entire educational program in violation of section 94909(a)(9). Section 94870 defines "Total charges" as "the sum of institutional and noninstitutional charges." Section 94844 defines "Institutional charges" as the "charges for an educational program paid directly to an institution." Respondent's catalog has a chart of the total costs per educational program, but the chart has an asterix stating that the total costs do not include "books" and "some fees." But total costs should include books and fees, because they are charges for an educational program paid by the student directly to the institution.
- 48. Respondent's catalog does not contain a statement specifying that, if a student obtains a loan to pay for an educational program, the student will have the responsibility to repay the full amount of the loan plus interest, less the amount of any refund, in violation of section 94909(a)(11).
- 49. Section 94909 subdivision (a)(12) requires a disclosure of pending bankruptcy petitions, or bankruptcy petitions filed by or against the institution in the last five years.

 Although Respondent's catalog states that there are no bankruptcy petitions pending and that no one has filed a petition against it, Respondent's catalog does not expressly state that Respondent has not filed a bankruptcy petition within the preceding five years, in violation of this subdivision.
- 50. Respondent's catalog does not have a description of the procedures for student access to library resources in violation of California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71810 subdivision (b)(10).
- 51. Respondent's catalog has two inconsistent sections governing student withdrawals. One section entitled "Refund Policy" appropriately states in compliance with sections 94909 subdivision (a)(8)(B) and 94919 that a student's withdrawal or cancellation may (1) entitle the student to a full refund (less certain fees) if made before through attendance at the first class session, or the seventh day after enrollment, whichever is later; or (2) entitle the student to a partial refund if they have completed 60% or less of the period of attendance. But another section

entitled "Withdrawal from the College" states that a student who withdrawals through nonattendance "will not be entitled to any refund", which is internally inconsistent and in violation of these two sections.

52. Respondent's catalog omits housing information, including whether it has dormitories, the availability and cost of housing located reasonably nearby, or any statement regarding whether it will find or assist students in finding housing, in violation of California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71810 subdivision (b)(13).

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Improper Change of Ownership)

53. Respondent's application for renewal of approval to operate listed a different owner, Western Baptist Bible Fellowship, Inc., a non-profit corporation, from who had previously owned the institution, Bethel Baptist Church. The application provided no explanation of how, when, or why this ownership change was made. Under California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71640, to change an institution's ownership requires an application for change of ownership submitted within 30 days of that change. That application must give the reason for the change and assess the change's impact on the institution's financial resources. By failing to timely apply for and explain the institution's change in ownership since it was last operating, Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 94885 for failing to operate the institution in compliance with the California Private Postsecondary Education Act of 2009.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Insufficient Expertise for Chief Academic Officer)

54. Respondent's application for renewal of approval to operate failed to show that its Chief Academic Officer had the expertise to ensure the achievement of the institution's mission and objectives and the operation of the educational programs, in violation of California Code of Regulations title 5 section 71730 subdivision (f), which subjects its application to denial under under section 94887 for failing to present sufficient evidence to the Bureau that Respondent had the capacity to satisfy the minimum operating standards, under section 94891 for failing to demonstrate its continued capacity to meet the minimum operating standards.

- Respondent's application stated that the duties of the Chief Academic Officer 55. include recommending general development of the curriculum, recommending changes to academic programs, and evaluating faculty through the methods of classroom observation.
- The Chief Academic Officer's work experience and educational background did not include any education, training, work experience, or expertise in developing curricula, developing academic programs, and evaluating faculty. His background included a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering, a master's degree in business administration, and thirty-five years of work in automotive and aerospace equipment design and manufacture and forty years of church volunteering, including teaching Sunday school.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Pacific Baptist College for a Renewal of Approval to Operate and Offer Educational Programs for Non-Accredited Institutions; and
 - 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

11/18/15 DATED:

Joanne Wenze

Chief

Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

LA2015500910 51880914 2.docx

26