1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE	POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION	
10	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA	
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. BPPE22-023	
13	ADVANCED COLLEGE; JUSRAND LLC -		
14	GURPREET SINGH, OWNER		
15	<u>Main Location</u> 13180 Paramount Boulevard	[Gov. Code, § 11520]	
16	South Gate, CA 90280		
17	Institution Code: 3013171		
18	Branch Location		
19	5258 Pirrone Court Salida, CA 95368		
20	School Code: 96110225		
21	Branch Location		
22	8338 West Lane Stockton, CA 95210		
23			
24	School Code: 17834722		
25	Respondent.		
26			
27			
28		1	
	(ADVANCED COLLEGE HIGHAND LLC CHINDEN	ET CINICH OWNED) DEEVILL T DECISION & ODDED	

Case No. BPPE22-023

FINDINGS OF FACT

2	1. On December 19, 2022, Deborah Cochrane (Complainant), in her official capacity as		
3 l	the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of Consum		
4 l	Affairs, filed Accusation Number BPPE22-023 against Advanced College; Jusrand LLC -		
5 l	Gurpreet Singh, Owner (Respondent), before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs		
6 l	(Director). (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
7	2. On July 20, 1999, the Bureau issued Approval to Operate Institution Code 3013171 to		
8 l	Advanced College; Justand LLC - Gurpreet Singh, Owner (Respondent). The Approval to		
9 l	Operate expired on July 29, 2022, and has not been renewed. The Bureau received a Renewal for		
10 l	Approval to Operate an Accredited Institution application on July 28, 2022. The status of the		
11 1	application is currently pending. Respondent's main location is 13180 Paramount Boulevard.,		
12 I	South Gate, California 90280. Respondent's branch locations are 5258 Pirrone Court, Salida,		
13 l	California 95368 (School Code: 96110225), and 8338 West Lane, Stockton, California 95210		
14 1	(School Code: 17834722).		
15	3. On December 19, 2022, Respondent was served copies of the Accusation Number		
16 l	BPPE22-023, Statement to Respondent, Request for Discovery, Notice of Defense, and		
17 I	Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, by certified and first class mail, at		
18 l	Respondent's addresses on file for service, which is required to be reported and maintained with		
19 l	the Bureau. Respondent's addresses on file for service was, and is:		
20 1	Gurpreet Singh Agent for Process		
21 l	4140 Dale Road, Suite J-8		
22	Modesto, CA 95356		
23	Advanced College Jusrand, LLC - Gurpreet Singh, Owner		
24	13180 Paramount Boulevard South Gate, CA 90280		
25	South Gate, CA 70200		

2526

27

28

4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c), and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.

1	5. Government Code section 11506, subdivision (c), states, in pertinent part:			
2	files a notice of defense and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense			
4	shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.			
5	6. The Bureau takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to			
6	file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service of the Accusation, and therefore waived the			
7 I	right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation Number BPPE22-023.			
8	7. Government Code section 11520, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:			
9 10 11	the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent			
12	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director finds			
.3	Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based on the			
4	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter,			
.5 l	finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation Number BPPE22-023, are separately and			
.6 l	severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.			
.7	9. The Director finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are			
.8 l	\$32,569.75 as of January 18, 2023.			
9 1	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES			
20	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Advanced College; Justand LLC			
1	- Gurpreet Singh, Owner, has subjected Approval to Operate Institution Code 3013171 to			
22	discipline.			
23	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.			
24	III/			
25	III/			
26	III/			
27	III/			
28	////			

1 I	ORDER		
2 l	IT IS SO ORDERED that Approval to Operate Institution Code 3013171, issued to		
3 l	Advanced College; Jusrand LLC - Gurpreet Singh, Owner, is revoked.		
4 l	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
5 1	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on withi		
6 l	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
7 I	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
8 I	This Decision shall become effective on <u>February 26, 2023.</u>		
9	It is so ORDERED January 20, 2023.		
10			
11	" Original Signature on File" RYAN MARCROFT		
12	DEPUTY DIRECTOR LEGAL AFFAIRS DIVISION		
13	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
14			
15 1	65669628.DOCX DOJ Matter ID:LA2022604242		
16	Attachment: Exhibit A - Accusation		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	6		

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 I	ROB BONTA		
2 l	Attorney General of California KIM KASRELIOVICH		
3 I	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL YI		
4 l	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 217174		
5 I	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6 l	Telephone: (213) 269-6483 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126		
7	E-mail: Michael.Yi@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
	BEFOR		
9 10	DEPARTMENT OF CO FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE STATE OF CA	POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION	
11			
12			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. BPPE22-023	
14	ADVANCED COLLEGE; JUSRAND LLC- GURPREET SINGH, OWNER	ACCUSATION	
15	,		
16	Main Location 13180 Paramount Boulevard		
17	South Gate, CA 90280		
18	Institution Code: 3013171		
19	Branch Location 5258 Pirrone Court		
20	Salida, CA 95368		
21	School Code: 96110225		
22	Branch Location		
23	8338 West Lane Stockton, CA 95210		
24	School Code: 17834722		
25	Respondent.		
26			
27			
28			
		1	

(ADVANCED COLLEGE; JUSRAND LLC - GURPREET SINGH, OWNER) ACCUSATION

3 4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

PARTIES

Deborah Cochrane (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official 1. capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On July 20, 1999, the Bureau issued Approval to Operate Institution Code 3013171 to Advanced College; Justand LLC - Gurpreet Singh, Owner (Respondent). The Approval to Operate expired on July 29, 2022, and has not been renewed. The Bureau received a Renewal for Approval to Operate an Accredited Institution application on July 28, 2022. The status of the application is currently pending. Respondent's main location is 13180 Paramount Boulevard., South Gate, California 90280. Respondent's branch locations are 5258 Pirrone Court, Salida, California 95368 (School Code: 96110225), and 8338 West Lane, Stockton, California 95120 (School Code: 17834722).

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Education Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Business and Professions Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Bureau of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 94875 provides that the Bureau shall regulate private postsecondary educational institutions.
 - Section 94877 states, in relevant part, that:
- "(a) The bureau shall adopt and shall enforce regulations to implement this chapter pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code).
- (b) The bureau shall develop and implement an enforcement program, pursuant to Article 18 (commencing with Section 94932) to implement this chapter ...

(3) The grades earned by the student in each of those courses."

program.

(c) In underlined capital letters on the same page of the enrollment agreement in which the student's signature is required, the total charges for the current period of attendance, the estimated total charges for the entire educational program, and the total charges the student is obligated to pay upon enrollment.

17. Section 94919 states:

"(a) An institution that participates in the federal student financial aid programs complies with this article by complying with applicable regulations of the federal student financial aid programs under Title IV of the federal Higher Education Act of 1965.

(c) The institution shall also provide a pro rata refund of nonfederal student financial aid program moneys paid for institutional charges to students who have completed 60 percent or less of the period of attendance.

Section 94934.5, subdivision (a), states: "An institution with an approval to operate 18. that knows that it is being investigated by an oversight entity other than the bureau shall report that investigation, including the nature of that investigation, to the bureau within 30 days of the institution's first knowledge of the investigation. An institution with an approval to operate that is the subject of a judgment by, a regulatory action by, increased oversight or monitoring by, or a settlement with, any oversight entity other than the bureau shall report it to the bureau within 30 days. Failure to comply with this section may subject the institution to an administrative citation pursuant to Section 94936."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, states: "In order to meet its 19. mission and objectives, the educational program defined in section 94837 of the Code shall be comprised of a curriculum that includes:
- (a) Those subject areas that are necessary for a student to achieve the educational objectives of the educational program in which the student is enrolled;

1 1	addition to the other requirements of this chapter and the Act, an institution offering distance			
2 1	l education shall:			
3				
4	(3) Ensure that the materials and programs are current, well organized, designed by faculty			
5 1	competent in distance education techniques and delivered using readily available, reliable			
6 l	technology;			
7 I	(4) Provide for meaningful interaction with faculty who are qualified to teach using			
8	distance education methods;			
9	(5) Maintain clear standards for satisfactory academic progress;			
0 l	(6) Timely complete student evaluations of learning outcomes by duly qualified faculty,			
1 1	which are appropriate for use with the distance education methods used, and evaluated by duly			
2	qualified faculty.			
13	п			
14	21. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71716, subdivision (a), states: "An			
15	institution offering a distance educational program where the instruction is not offered in real			
16	time shall transmit the first lesson and any materials to any student within seven days after the			
17	institution accepts the student for admission."			
18	22. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720, subdivision (a), states: "An			
19	Educational Program Leading to a Degree.			
20	(1) An institution offering an educational program that leads to a degree shall employ duly			
21	qualified faculty sufficient in number to provide the instruction, student advisement, and learning			
22	outcomes evaluation necessary for the institution to document its achievement of its stated			
23	mission and objectives, and for students to achieve the specific learning objectives of each course			
24	offered;			
25	п			
26				
27				
28				

student is not obsolete and is sufficient for instructional purposes to reasonably assure that a

student acquires the necessary level of education, training, skill, and experience to obtain

27

employment in the field of training and to perform the tasks associated with the occupation or job title to which the educational program was represented to lead."

- 25. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71745, subdivision (a), states: "The institution shall document that it has at all times sufficient assets and financial resources to do all of the following:
 - (1) Provide all of the educational programs that the institution represented it would provide.
 - (2) Ensure that all students admitted to its educational programs have a reasonable opportunity to complete the programs and obtain their degrees or diplomas.
 - (3) Maintain the minimum standards required by the Act and this chapter.
 - (4) Pay timely refunds as required by Article 13 of the Act.
 - (5) Pay all operating expenses due within 30 days.

- 26. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71750, states:
- "(a) Every institution shall make refunds that are no less than the refunds required under the Act and this Division.
- (b) An institution may not enforce any refund policy that is not specified in the catalog as required pursuant to section 94909(a)(8)(B) of the Code, and must refund all institutional charges upon a student's withdrawal. Withdrawal policy procedures pursuant to section 94909(a)(8)(B) of the Code shall include, at a minimum: the acceptable methods of delivery of a notice to withdraw; whether withdrawal can be accomplished by conduct, and if so, how; the position or positions to whom the notice to withdraw must be delivered; and the date that the notice to withdraw is considered effective, which shall be no later than the date received by the institution.
- (c) A pro rata refund pursuant to section 94919(c) or 94920(d) or 94927 of the Code shall be no less than the total amount owed by the student for the portion of the educational program provided subtracted from the amount paid by the student, calculated as follows:
- (1) The amount owed equals the daily charge for the program (total institutional charge, divided by the number of days or hours in the program), multiplied by the number of days student attended, or was scheduled to attend, prior to withdrawal.

(10) A document specifying the amount of a refund, including the amount refunded for tuition and the amount for other itemized charges, the method of calculating the refund, the date the refund was made, and the name and address of the person or entity to which the refund was sent;

**

- 30. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71930, states:
- "(a) An institution shall maintain all records required by the Act and this chapter. The records shall be maintained in this state.
- (b)(1) In addition to permanently retaining a transcript as required by section 94900(b) of the Code, the institution shall maintain for a period of 5 years the pertinent student records described in Section 71920 from the student's date of completion or withdrawal
- (e) All records that the institution is required to maintain by the Act or this chapter shall be made immediately available by the institution for inspection and copying during normal business hours by the Bureau and any entity authorized to conduct investigations.

- 31. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 74006, states:
- "(a) An institution's annual fee is due within 30 days of the date on which the institution originally receives its approval to operate and each year thereafter on the anniversary of the date of the original approval.
 - (b) An institution shall pay its annual fee in addition to any other applicable fees.

32. California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 76120, subdivision (a), states: "Each qualifying institution shall collect an assessment of zero dollars (\$0) per one thousand dollars (\$1,000) of institutional charges, rounded to the nearest thousand dollars, from each student in an educational program who is a California resident or is enrolled in a residency

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 37. The Bureau also received complaints against Advanced College located 13180 Paramount Boulevard in South Gate, California (Main Campus), and 8338 West Lane in Stockton, California (Stockton Campus), alleging that the schools: (1) failed to properly teach their students and disclose the loss of financial aid; (2) enrolled students without providing the required education; and (3) falsified records.
- On December 22, 2021, the Bureau received notice from the United States Department of Education (DOE) of the DOE's denial of Respondent's application to continue participating in the federal student financial assistance programs. The DOE provided Respondent with several reasons for the denial, including Respondent's failure to pay timely refunds to students, submission of false information during the DOE's investigation, failure to meet standards of financial responsibility, and failure to demonstrate administrative capability.

BUREAU INVESTIGATION OF RESPONDENT

On January 6, 2022, a Bureau Investigator ("Bureau Investigator") and a BVNPT Nurse Education Consultant visited the Salida Campus. The Bureau Investigator requested specific documents during the visit, including the school's current student roster, school catalog, School Performance Fact Sheets and backup data, financial report, and faculty list. The Bureau Investigator reviewed the limited documents provided by school employees and interviewed school employees. The Bureau Investigator also reviewed and obtained copies of student files for students enrolled at the Salida Campus, Main Campus, and Stockton Campus. Respondent failed to provide student rosters for current or former students, a complete school catalog, complete student files for several students, the School Performance Fact Sheet backup data, a student withdrawal/drop log from 2019 to the present, a current financial report, or faculty list.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prohibited Business Practices)

- 43. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating section 94897, subdivisions (i), (k), and (m), as follows:
- 44. The student file for S. C.¹ (Salida Campus) included three different Enrollment Agreements. Two of the Enrollment Agreements did not accurately state the number of course hours, and the date S. C. signed the Enrollment Agreements.
- 45. Respondent falsified admissions test results for students enrolled in the Vocational Nursing program that were unable to meet the admissions requirements.
- 46. The R2T4² form for A. C. (Stockton Campus) contained false information. The date A.C. signed the R2T4 form predated the date of creation of the form. The form included a statement that A. C. was notified of a post-withdrawal disbursement on September 11, 2021, but the form was not created until December 6, 2021.
- 47. Respondent provided an Enrollment Agreement dated December 17, 2020, that included Student Tuition Recovery Fund (STRF) fees that did not go into effect for that student until February 8, 2021, and had a document revision date of February 25, 2021. Respondent falsified the date that the document was signed, and represented that the document was created and signed on December 17, 2020, when it was created and signed on or after February 25, 2021.
- 48. Payment ledgers for A. R. (Stockton Campus), J.M. (Stockton Campus), E. A. (Stockton Campus), A. T. (Stockton Campus), L. Y. C. (Stockton Campus), L. P. (Stockton Campus), and R. G. (Stockton Campus) reflected a STRF charge for \$17.50 that was not paid by the student, and was not itemized on their Enrollment Agreements.
- 49. Respondent admitted students without verifying that the students were qualified based on the school's admissions standards. The student files for E. A., L. Y. C, L. P., and **R.** G. contained a letter dated February 16, 2021, stating that they had been admitted to the program

¹ Individuals' initials are used to protect their identities.

² R2T4 refers to the calculation required when a recipient of Title IV aid withdraws from an institution during a payment period/period of enrollment in which the recipient began attendance.

15 I

50. Respondent admitted a student without verifying that the student was qualified based on the school's admissions standards. The student file for S. H. (Salida Campus) contained a letter dated January 16, 2019, stating that S. H. had been admitted to the Vocational Nursing program, and had met all admissions requirements, including passing a HESI exam. The student file did not have documentation that the student completed a HESI exam, and the required admissions policy was for an ATI TEAS (Test of Essential Academic Skills) exam. The student file did not contain a copy of an ATI TEAS test.

and had met all nursing admissions requirements prior to passing the HESI (Health Education

- 51. The Enrollment Agreement for V. G. (Salida Campus) stated that the Vocational Nursing program was 62 instructional weeks. However, the program start, and scheduled completion date was for only 30 weeks. V. G.'s student file contained a payment ledger that did not accurately reflect the charges based on the Enrollment Agreement. The payment ledger included a STRF fee of \$4.00, while the Enrollment Agreement identified a STRF fee of \$17.50. The payment ledger identified a certification fee of \$7.81, while the Enrollment Agreement represented the fee as \$500.00. There were several other fees charged on the payment ledger that were not accurately reflected on the Enrollment Agreement.
- 52. Respondent made an untrue statement on an Enrollment Agreement for S. C. regarding the total credits charged for, and the associated tuition fees for that Enrollment Agreement. Respondent made an untrue statement related to the charges on S. C.'s payment ledger by charging for, but not providing, uniforms and a background check.
- 53. Respondent made misleading statements regarding attendance records. Respondent provided 60 minutes of credit for 15 minutes of participation in an educational program.
- 54. Respondent made a false or misleading statement on a record of attendance. The student file for D. V. (Stockton Campus) contained leave of absence paperwork created on March 16, 2022, which is the date that Respondent provided the file to the Bureau Investigator. The paperwork stated that D. V. was on a leave of absence from October 3, 2021, until March 21, 2022, which was inconsistent with the information provided by D. V. Respondent charged

4

5

6

7

8

9

IO

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

- Respondent made a misleading and false change in a test score to admit A. R. into the Vocational Nursing program. Respondent also made a false statement regarding fees charged to A. R. for services and supplies that were not provided, including a background check, uniforms, books, and supplies.
- Respondent directed an employee to make false statements on annual reports to its accreditor regarding placement and graduation rates. Respondent also falsified a record of completion for a former student.
- 57. Respondent made a misleading and false change in a test score to admit J.M. into the Vocational Nursing program. Respondent also made a false statement regarding fees charged to J. M. for a background check, uniforms, books, and supplies, which were not provided. Respondent made false statements regarding an attendance record showing that J. M. attended the full course period, but did not provide educational services for the entire scheduled class time.
- Respondent admitted C.H. (Salida Campus) without verifying that the student met 58. the admissions standards. C. H. did not take Respondent's required admissions test.
- 59. Respondent instructed students to refrain from reporting unlawful behavior and providing complaints to the Bureau and other government agencies.
- On March 30, 2022, Respondent's Chief Operating Officer, J.M., provided statements to the Bureau Investigator that test scores were fraudulently altered by school staff. On April 5, 2022, Respondent's Director of Nursing, K. F., provided an email from HESI regarding admissions tests taken fraudulently at the school. Respondent falsified test scores for students to admit them into educational programs.
- Respondent made a false statement regarding collection of tuition. Respondent provided a statement to students on January 27, 2022, stating payments for tuition charges incurred after December 31, 2021, were not required. Respondent subsequently demanded payments before providing a diploma to Main Campus graduates M. Z., B. P., and L. J.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 65. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71745, subdivision (a), as follows: Respondent failed to provide documentation of sufficient assets to provide all of the educational programs offered, failed to ensure that all admitted students had an opportunity to complete their programs, failed to maintain the standards set forth by the Bureau's laws and regulations, failed to pay timely refunds, and failed to document the ability to pay all operating expenses.
- 66. Respondent provided bank statements and operational expense reports demonstrating that Respondent would become insolvent as early as June 2022. Respondent provided active student lists showing that students were not scheduled to complete their educational program until April 2023. Current and former employees of Respondent that stated they were not paid timely, were not provided with necessary educational materials, and received phone calls from

1 l	vendors regarding past due payments for required educational materials. Student files also		
2 I	revealed that Respondent failed to provide refunds to students, or to the federal government		
3 I	when due, or at all. The U.S. Department of Education also provided documents to the Bureau		
4 l	demonstrating that the school failed to meet its fiduciary responsibility.		
5 l	Complainant incorporates paragraphs 36-42, as though fully stated here.		
6	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
7	(Merging Classes, Converting Method of Delivery, Changing Locations)		
8 l	67. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
9 l	section 94898, subdivision (a), as follows: on April 11, 2022, D. L., a former Vocational Nursing		
10 l	Instructor at the Main Campus informed the Bureau Investigator that the school merged the		
11 l	Medical Assisting program courses with the Surgical Technology program courses due to a lack		
12 I	of instructors. The school merged two or more classes where all students have not received the		
13	same amount of instruction, and were not enrolled in the same courses.		
14	Complainant incorporates paragraphs 36-42, as though fully stated here.		
15	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
16	(Educational Program, Instruction, and Faculty)		
17 I	68. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
18 l	California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71710, subdivisions (a), (b), (c), and (f),		
19 l	California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71715, subdivisions (a), (b), (c), (d), (d)(3),		
20 l	(d)(4), (d)(5), and (d)(6), and California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71720, subdivision		
21 I	(a)(l), as follows:		
22 I	69. Respondent failed to offer an educational program that included the areas necessary		
23 I	for B. P. to achieve the educational objectives of the student's program. Respondent failed to		
24 I	provide a laboratory environment for the required laboratory component of the course.		
25 l	Respondent failed to provide B. P. with the required variety of specialties in the externship		
26 l	component of the educational program. Respondent failed to provide the courses organized in a		
27 	logical manner to students. Respondent did not provide the required educational courses to		

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

prepare B. P. for the experience of working at an externship site as part of the educational program.

- 70. Respondent failed to present an educational program in a logically organized sequence. Respondent admitted D. V. in the middle of a term, and did not provide the course in the required sequence. Respondent also failed to provide course materials designed by qualified faculty, including a course syllabus.
- Respondent failed to provide an educational program (Vocational Nursing) that included evaluation by duly qualified faculty of the learning outcomes for each course or module. A. R., J.M., M. Z, B. P., and L. J. were not provided with an instructor for the distance education courses provided by the school.
- Respondent failed to have instruction as the central focus of the services and resources of the institution. Respondent failed to employ sufficient duly qualified faculty to provide instruction, and to ensure that the educational program included meaningful interactions between faculty and students, failed to provide the required materials for the program, and failed to ensure that learning outcomes were evaluated by duly qualified faculty. Students participating in distance education were not provided with meaningful interaction with qualified faculty.
- Respondent failed to have instruction as the central focus of the services and resources of the institution. Former employees provided statements that the school failed to provide instruction for distance education programs, and failed to provide the necessary supplies and equipment to instructors and students to achieve the educational objectives of the programs. Current and former students provided statements that instruction was not provided while they attended the school.
- Respondent failed to document instruction to achieve the learning objectives of the course. Students completed the Vocational Nursing course, but did not pass the NCLEX (National Council Licensure Examination), a stated learning objective of the course. Respondent only had 34% of their graduates attempt to take the NCLEX, and only 14% of the entire eligible cohort population passed the exam.

1 l	failed to have sufficient equipment for enrolled students to achieve the educational objectives o		
2 I	several educational programs.		
3	EIGHTH CAUSE FQR DISCIPLINE		
4	(Professions Requiring Licensure, Internships, and		
5 l	Admissions Standards and Transferred Credits Policy)		
6 l	82. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
7 I	section 94905, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71770,		
8 I	subdivision (a), as follows:		
9 I	83. Respondent did not exercise reasonable care to ensure that a student enrolled in an		
10 l	educational program that leads to a profession requiring licensure would be eligible to obtain		
11 I	licensure in the state, and did not follow their admissions policy to ensure that the student		
12 I	had an ability to benefit from the program.		
13 I	84. Respondent failed to have a written admissions policy that related to the particular		
14 I	educational program. Respondent provided a School Catalog, and made statements that		
15 l	Respondent did not include a background check as a part of the admissions process for the		
16 l	Surgical Technology program, although a clean background check was required to complete		
17 I	the externship portion of the educational program.		
18 I	85. The student file for P. W. (Stockton Campus) contained documentation reflecting a		
19 1	score of 41.3% on the ATI TEAS test. The required passing score is 55%. The school admitted		
20 I	unqualified students based on the school's admissions standards. The student files for E. A.,		
21 I	L. Y. C, L. P., and R. G. contained a letter dated February 16, 2021 stating that they had been		
22 I	admitted to the program and had met all nursing admissions requirements prior to the passing		
23 I	HESI exam, taken on February 19, 2021.		
24 I	86. The student file for S. H. contained a letter dated January 16, 2019 stating that S. H.		
25 I	had been admitted to the program and had met all nursing admissions requirements, including		

26

27

passing a HESI exam. S. H.'s student file did not contain documentation that S. H. completed a

HESI exam, and the admissions policy required an ATI TEAS exam, not a HESI exam. S. H.'s

1 l	student file did not contain a copy of an ATI TEAS test. The student file for P. P. (Salida		
2 l	Campus) contained a HESI exam score, and but no documentation of an ATI TEAS exam.		
3 l	87. Respondent's admission policy required a score of 25 or more on a Wonderlic		
4 l	Scholastic Level Exam (SLE), and 55 or more on an ATI TEAS exam. The student file for C. A		
5 1	(Stockton Campus) contained a copy of an ATI test with a score of 98%, with a testing time of		
6 l	57 minutes. The ATI test score was questionable, based on other tests having taken 3 or more		
7 I	hours, and not scoring as high. Additionally, C. A. scored 25 out of 50 on the Wonderlic SLE.		
8 l	When asked for testing details, staff responded that ATI did not have a login for C. A. But the		
9	student file contained a letter stating that C. A. had been admitted to the program, and had met		
10 l	all nursing admissions requirements, including passing an ATI TEAS exam.		
11 l	88. The student file for B. M. (Main Campus) contained a document stating that B. M.'s		
12 l	school district was closed, and B. M. was unable to obtain a transcript reflecting high school		
13	completion. No additional documentation was provided in lieu of a high school transcript.		
14	Respondent did not ensure that B. M. had a reasonable prospect of completing the program.		
15	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
16	(Administration)		
17	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
18	California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 71730, subdivisions (a), (c), (d), and (f), as		
19	follows:		
20	90. Respondent failed to employ a Chief Academic Office and a Chief Executive		
21	Officer. Respondent failed to employ administrative personnel with expertise to achieve		
22	officers redpondent ranea to employ administrative personner with expertise to define ve		
	Respondent's mission and objectives, and the operations of the educational programs.		
23			
2324	Respondent's mission and objectives, and the operations of the educational programs.		
	Respondent's mission and objectives, and the operations of the educational programs. 91. Respondent failed to have written policies regarding the division and sharing of		
24	Respondent's mission and objectives, and the operations of the educational programs. 91. Respondent failed to have written policies regarding the division and sharing of administrative responsibilities among its branches.		
2425	Respondent's mission and objectives, and the operations of the educational programs. 91. Respondent failed to have written policies regarding the division and sharing of administrative responsibilities among its branches. 92. Respondent failed to have administrative staff at a branch location reflecting the		

28

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

97.

27

The student files for S. C. and A. C. showed that Respondent failed to follow federal

IV refunds, and the application of funds to students' accounts while attending the school.

regulations requiring Respondent to complete the R2T4 within 45 days of the student's last date

follows:

from the school, including leave of absences and graduation dates.

27

1 1	FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
2 l	(Collection and Submission of STRF Assessments)		
3 I	120. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating		
4 l	California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 76130, subdivisions (a)-(e), for failing to submit		
5 I	STRF invo	pices for the first, second	d, and third quarters of 2022.
6 1	l	FIFTEI	ENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7 I			(Annual Fees)
8 I	121.	Respondent is subject	to disciplinary action under section 94937, for violating
9 I	California	Code of Regulations, tit	le 5, section 74006, subdivisions (a) and (b), for failing to
10 I	submit the	annual fee and late fee	invoices for calendar year 2022.
11 l	Į.		PRAYER
12 I	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this		
13 I	Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs		
14 1	l issue a decision:		
15	1. Revoking or suspending Approval to Operate Institution Code 3013171, issued to		ng Approval to Operate Institution Code 3013171, issued to
16 l	Advanced College; Jusrand LLC - Gurpreet Singh, Owner;		
17	2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education the		
18 l	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and		
19 l	Professions Code section 125.3; and,		
20	3.	Taking such other and	further action as deemed necessary and proper.
21			
22			
23	DATED:	12/19/22	"Original Signature On file"
24			DEBORAH COCHRANE Chief
25			Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education Department of Consumer Affairs
26			State of California Complainant
27			
28	LA2022604242 65621473.docx		
			31

(ADVANCED COLLEGE; JUSRAND LLC - GURPREET SINGH, OWNER) ACCUSATION