

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**BEFORE THE
DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 1005854

**FREDERICK W. TAYLOR UNIVERSITY
2050 W. Chapman Ave., Ste(s). 108 & 104
Orange, CA 92868**

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

Institution Code No. 0702241

[Gov. Code, §11520]

Respondent.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On or about June 1, 2021, Complainant Deborah Cochrane, in her official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education, Department of Consumer Affairs, (Bureau) filed Accusation No. 1005854 against Frederick W. Taylor University (Respondent) before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)

2. On or about September 27, 1994, the Bureau issued Approval to Operate, Institution Code No. 0702241 to Respondent. The Approval to Operate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 1005854 and will expire on April 17, 2024, unless renewed.

///

///

1 3. On or about June 3, 2021, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail
2 copies of the Accusation No. 1005854, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for
3 Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at
4 Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, is
5 required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and
6 is: Frederick W. Taylor University, 2050 W. Chapman Ave., Suites 108 & 104, Orange,
7 California 92868.

8 4. On or about June 3, 2021, Respondent's CEO, Secretary and Director, Tu Truong,
9 was also served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 1005854,
10 Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes
11 (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at: Vine Branches Transportation
12 Company, 13538 Pan Am Boulevard, Moreno Valley, California 92553.

13 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
14 Government Code section 11505(c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.

15 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:

16 (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
17 files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all
18 parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense
19 . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its
20 discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

21 7. The Bureau takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to
22 file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon them of the Accusation, and therefore
23 waived their right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 1005854.

24 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:

25 (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at
26 the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express
27 admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without
28 any notice to respondent

 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director finds
Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based on the
relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter,

1 as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained
2 therein on file at the Director's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No.
3 1005854, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 1005854, are separately and
4 severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

5 10. The Director finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are
6 \$2,185.001, as of July 8, 2021.

7 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

8 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Frederick W. Taylor University
9 has subjected its Approval to Operate, Institution Code No. 0702241, to discipline.

10 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

11 3. The Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke
12 Respondent's Approval to Operate, Institution Code 0702241, based upon the following
13 violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default
14 Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:

15 a. Respondent subjected its Approval to Operate to disciplinary action under title
16 5, California Code of Regulations, sections 75020, subdivision (c)(4) and 75050, subdivision (b),
17 for failing to comply with an order of abatement in Citation Number 1920323, affirmed by the
18 Bureau on or about June 11, 2020.

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Approval to Operate, Institution Code No. 0702241, issued to Respondent Frederick W. Taylor University, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on “September 8, 2021.”

It is so ORDERED “August 5, 2021.”

“Original Signature on File.”

RYAN MARCROFT
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
LEGAL AFFAIRS DIVISION
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

FREDERICK W. TAYLOR UNIVERSITY DEFAULT DECISION.DOCX
SD2021800420

Attachment:
Exhibit A: Accusation