BEFORE THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:						
CALIFORNIA UNION UNIVERSITY – FULLERTON; SAMUEL CHAI CHO OH, Owner 905 South Euclid Street Fullerton, CA 92632	Case No. 997104					
Institution Code No. 1920741						
Institution Code No. 1920741						
Respondent.						
DECISION						
The attached Stipulated Surrender of Appaccepted and adopted by the Director of Consumentitled matter.						
This Decision shall become effective on September 8, 2011.						
IT IS SO ORDERED this day of	of, 2011.					
	Doreather Johnson					

Deputy Director, Legal Affairs Department of Consumer Affairs

- 11							
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California						
2	JAMES M. LEDAKIS						
3.	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC						
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392						
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101						
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266						
	Telephone: (619) 645-3154						
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant						
8	BEFOR						
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION						
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA					
11							
12	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:	Case No. 997104					
13	CALIFORNIA UNION UNIVERSITY-						
14	FULLERTON; SAMUEL CHAI CHO OH, OWNER	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF					
15	905 South Euclid Street Fullerton, CA 92632	APPROVAL TO OPERATE AND ORDER					
16	Institution Code No. 1920741						
17	Respondent.						
18							
19	In the interest of a prompt and speedy resolution of this matter, consistent with the public						
20	interest and the responsibilities of the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs and the						
21	Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated						
22	Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order which will be submitted to the Director for his						
23	approval and adoption as the final disposition of the First Amended Accusation.						
24	PARTIES						
25	1. Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) is the Deputy Bureau Chief of the Bureau for Private						
26	Postsecondary Education. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is						

represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by

Marichelle S. Tahimic, Deputy Attorney General.

27

- 2. Samuel Chai Cho Oh, owner of California Union University-Fullerton (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.
- 3. On or about May 4, 2007, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary & Vocational Education¹ issued an Approval to Operate California Union University-Fullerton to Samuel Chai Cho Oh, Owner (Respondent). The Approval to Operate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 997104 and will expire on December 31, 2011, unless extended.

JURISDICTION

4. First Amended Accusation No. 997104 was filed before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director), for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (Bureau), and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on April 21, 2011. Respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the First Amended Accusation. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 997104 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 997104. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

¹ The Bureau for Private Postsecondary and Vocational Education sunsetted on June 30, 2007. It was then re-established on January 1, 2010 as the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education.

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

<u>CULPABILITY</u>

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in First Amended Accusation No. 997104, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders the Approval to Operate California Union University-Fullerton for the Director's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order, Respondent enables the Director to issue an order accepting the surrender of Respondent's Approval to Operate California Union University-Fullerton without further process.

CONTINGENCY

approval by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs or his designee. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education may communicate directly with the Director and staff of the Department of Consumer Affairs regarding this Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order prior to the time the Director considers and acts upon it. If the Director fails to adopt this Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order as the Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Director shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

///

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

24

26 2.7

28

The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

- This Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Director may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Approval to Operate California Union University-Fullerton that was issued to Respondent Samuel Chai Cho Oh, owner, is surrendered and accepted by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

- The surrender of Respondent's Approval to Operate and the acceptance of the surrendered Approval to Operate by the Director shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's history with the Bureau.
- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges to operate California Union University-Fullerton as of the effective date of the Director's Decision and Order.
- Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Bureau the written document certifying issuance of his Approval to Operate California Union University-Fullerton on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- If Respondent ever applies for an Approval to Operate in the State of California, the Bureau shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws,

regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked Approval to Operate in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 997104 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Bureau determines whether to grant or deny the petition.

If and when Respondent is granted an Approval to Operate, he shall pay to the Bureau costs associated with its investigation and enforcement pursuant to Education Code section 94937 and Business and Professions Code section 125.3 in the amount of \$3,022.50. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Bureau. Nothing in this provision shall be construed to prohibit the Bureau from reducing the amount of cost recovery prior to issuing an Approval to Operate.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order. I understand the Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order and the effect it will have on my Approval to Operate California Union University-Fullerton. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: 6-20-2011

CALIFORNIA UNION UNIVERSITY-**FULLERTON** Respondent

///

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of Approval to Operate and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: June 24, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Marichelle S. Tahemic
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2011700492 80503563.doc

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 997104

1	1						
1	Kamala D. Harris						
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS						
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC						
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392						
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100						
6	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266						
	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3154						
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant						
8	BEFOI	RE THE					
9	BUREAU FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS						
10		1					
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:	Case No. 997104					
12	11gamot,	FIRST AMENDED					
13	California Union University-Fullerton; Samuel Chai Cho Oh, Owner	ACCUSATION					
14	905 South Euclid Street Fullerton, CA 92632	(Amendments are in bold)					
15	Tunerton, CA 92032						
16	Institution Code No. 1920741						
17	Respondent.						
18							
19	Complainant alleges:						
20	PARTIES						
21	Joanne Wenzel (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her						
22	official capacity as the Deputy Bureau Chief for the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education,						
23	Department of Consumer Affairs.						
24							
25	2. On or about May 4, 2007, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education issued an Approval to Operate California Union University-Fullerton to Samuel Chai Cho Oh, Owner						
26	(Respondent) The Approval to Operate and Samuel Chai Cho Oh, Owner						
27	(Respondent). The Approval to Operate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2011, unless extended.						
28	///	noer 51, 2011, unless extended.					

JURISDICTION

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education (hereinafter "Bureau"), Department of Consumer Affairs under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Education Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 94932 provides:

The bureau shall determine an institution's compliance with the requirements of this chapter. The bureau shall have the power to require reports that institutions shall file with the bureau in addition to the annual report, to send staff to an institution's sites, and to require documents and responses from an institution to monitor compliance. When the bureau has reason to believe that an institution may be out of compliance, it shall conduct an investigation of the institution. If the bureau determines, after completing an investigation, that an institution has violated any applicable law or regulation, the bureau shall take appropriate actions pursuant to this article.

5. Section 94937 provides:

- (a) As a consequence of an investigation, and upon a finding that an institution has committed a violation, the bureau may place an institution on probation or may suspend or revoke an institution's approval to operate for:
- (2) A material violation or repeated violations of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter that have resulted in harm to students. For purposes of this paragraph, "material violation" includes, but is not limited to, misrepresentation, fraud in the inducement of a contract, and false or misleading claims or advertising, upon which a student reasonably relied in executing an enrollment agreement that resulted in harm to the student....
- 6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Business and Professions Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND REGULATIONS

7. Business and Professions Code section 23.7 defines "license" as license, certificate, registration, or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code or referred to in Section 1000 or 3600.

- 8. Business and Professions Code section 23.8 defines "licentiate" as any person authorized by license, certificate, registration, or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code or referred to in Section 1000 or 3600.
 - 9. Business and Professions Code section 141 provides:
 - (a) For any licensee holding a license issued by a board under the jurisdiction of the department, a disciplinary action taken by another state, by any agency of the federal government, or by another country for any act substantially related to the practice regulated by the California license, may be a ground for disciplinary action by the respective state licensing board. A certified copy of the record of the disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or another country shall be conclusive evidence of the eyents related therein.
 - (b) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from applying a specific statutory provision in the licensing act administered by that board that provides for discipline based upon a disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or another country.
 - 10. Section 482 of the Business and Professions Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

- 11. Section 490 of the Business and Professions Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 12. Section 493 of the Business and Professions Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

- 13. Education Code section 94851 defines "owner" as an individual in the case of a sole proprietorship, partners in a partnership, members in a limited liability company, or shareholders in a corporation.
- 14. Education Code section 94856 defines "person in control" as a person who, by his or her position's authority or conduct, directs the management of an institution.
 - 15. Education Code section 94897 states:

An institution shall not do any of the following:

- (j) In any manner make an untrue or misleading change in, or untrue or misleading statement related to, a test score, grade or record of grades, attendance record, record indicating student completion, placement, employment, salaries, or financial information, including any of the following:
 - (3) Any other record or document required by this chapter or by the bureau....
- 16. Title 5, California Code of Regulations, section 71730, subdivision (g) states:

The institution shall not employ or continue to employ any administrative personnel who were adjudicated in a judicial or administrative proceeding as having violated any provision of the Act or this chapter or as having committed any act that would constitute grounds for the denial of a license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.

17. Title 5, California Code of Regulations, section 74120, states:

In determining whether any of the grounds for denial set forth in Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code exist, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of any owner, corporate director or member of the governing board, officer, administrator, or instructor under any of the following circumstances:

- (a) The crime or act involves any violation of the Act or this chapter, the closure of an institution, fraud or misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, any type of forgery or theft, or any type of dishonesty causing any financial loss.
- (b) The crime, to a substantial degree, evidences present or potential unfitness to perform the functions and duties authorized or required by the Act or this chapter in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, including a crime prohibited by Title 8 (commencing with Section 187) or Title 9 (commencing with Section 261) of the Penal Code.

Respondent was convicted on his guilty plea of ten counts of violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1546(a), fraud and misuse of visas and other immigration documents; violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1957(a), engaging in monetary transactions involving unlawfully derived funds (money laundering); and violation of 18 U.S.C. 2(a) and (b), aiding and abetting and causing these acts to be done.

- Respondent California Union University (hereinafter "CUU") in Fullerton, California. In his capacity as founder and President, Respondent operated, controlled and managed the activities of CUU. CUU was authorized by the United States immigration officials to participate as an educational institution in the non-immigrant, F-1 Visa Student Exchange Visitor Program, whereby foreign or alien students may enter and remain in the United Stated for a period of time for educational purposes. For each foreign student attending CUU, CUU was required to issue a Form I-20 Certificate of Eligibility for Non-immigrant Student Certificate known as the Form I-20 Certificate. The Form I-20 Certificate verifies that the foreign student 1) was a bona fide student of CUU, 2) had been accepted for and would be required to pursue, a full course of study at CUU and 3) had provided proof of financial responsibility to remain in the United States and pay the required tuition.
- 23. Respondent Oh was the designated school official responsible for ensuring that CUU complied with the laws and regulations governing CUU's participation in the F-1 Student Visa Program and was therefore responsible for issuance of Form I-20 Certificates to foreign students who had been admitted for study at CUU. Respondent Oh was also responsible for the accuracy of the representations in the Form I-20 Certificates.
- 24. From at least as early as June, 2007 to in or around October, 2009, Respondent issued hundreds of Form I-20 Certificates that falsely represented that certain aliens were bona fide students who had been accepted for and would be required to pursue, a full course of study at CUU, had provided proof of financial responsibility to remain in the United States and paid the required tuition. Respondents Oh and CUU obtained substantial sums of money that were paid to

them in the form of "tuition" payments from aliens who were not in fact studying at CUU and had no legitimate right to obtain or maintain an F-1 Student Visa.

- 25. The Form I-20 Certificates subscribed by Respondent Oh under penalty of perjury, contained false statements in that the subject aliens did not attend any classes at CUU as represented, and were not required to do so. Indeed, CUU did not hold classes or employ teachers. CUU used false documents such as school transcripts to support the fraudulent Form I-20 Certificates. Respondent Oh knew the Form I-20 Certificates contained false statements and further knew that the Form I-20 Certificates would be used by student aliens for lawful entry in to the United States or as evidence of authorized stay in the United States. The Form I-20 Certificates include those with the following dates:
 - a. June 27, 2007 signed by Respondent regarding "student" alien K.C.C;
- b. August 27, 2007 signed by Respondent regarding Respondent regarding "student" alien Y.R.S;
 - c. August 25, 2008 signed by Respondent regarding "student" alien J.H.C;
 - d. October 3, 2008 signed by Respondent regarding "student" alien M.S.K.;
 - e. March 3, 2009 signed by Respondent regarding "student" alien K.M.;
 - f. May 29, 2009 signed by Respondent regarding "student" alien E.H.;
 - g. June 17, 2009 signed by Respondent regarding "student" alien S.J.;
 - h. July 14, 2009 signed by Respondent regarding "student" alien S.H.S.;
 - i. July 21, 2009 signed by Respondent regarding "student" alien S.H.Y.
- 26. On or about June 15, 2009, Respondent Oh transferred \$150,000 by check from Hanni Bank, account number 11814586 in the name of "Union Presbytery in USA dba California Union University" to East West Bank, account number 20225975, held in the name of M.L.C., knowing that the funds represented proceeds obtained from unlawful activity, specifically, "tuition" payments from aliens who were not in fact studying at CUU and had no legitimate right to obtain or maintain an F-1 Student Visa.
- 27. On or about June 15, 2009, Respondent Oh transferred \$50,000 by check from Wells Fargo Bank, account number 1838185260 in the name of "Newland Presbyterian Church" to East

28 | ///

West Bank, account number 20225975, held in the name of M.L.C., knowing that the funds represented proceeds obtained from unlawful activity, specifically, "tuition" payments from aliens who were not in fact studying at CUU and had no legitimate right to obtain or maintain an F-1 Student Visa.

28. Sentencing is scheduled for May 9, 2011 before the United States District Court, Central District of California, Southern Division.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Employment of Administrative Personnel Who Committed Fraud)

29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under title 5, California Code of Regulations, section 71730, subdivision (g), in that California Union University employed administrative personnel who committed acts constituting grounds for the denial of a license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code in that California Union University employed Respondent Oh as the President and CEO of California Union University and who was convicted of fraud, misuse of visas, and engaging in transactions involving criminally derived proceeds, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 21-28 above, and incorporated herein as though set forth in full.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Making Untrue Statements Regarding Student Attendance)

30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Education Code section 94897, subdivision (j), in that California Union University by and through Respondent Oh, the President and CEO of California Union University, made untrue statements regarding the attendance of alien students at California Union University on Form I-20 Certificates that verified that the foreign student 1) was a bona fide student of CUU, 2) had been accepted for and would be required to pursue, a full course of study at CUU, and 3) had provided proof of financial responsibility to remain in the United States and pay the required tuition, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 21-28 above, and incorporated herein as though set forth in full.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education issue a decision:

- Revoking Samuel Chai Cho Oh's Approval to Operate California Union University-1. Fullerton;
- Ordering Samuel Chai Cho Oh, owner of California Union University-Fullerton, to 2. pay the Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3 and Education Code section 94937; and,
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3.

		1 1		
DATED:	_4//	191	1)	
	1			

JOANNE WENZEL

Deputy Bureau Chief

Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SD2011700492 80466891.doc

26

27